arXiv:1704.02423v1 [math.OA] 8 Apr 2017

EMBEDDINGS OF OPERATOR IDEALS INTO $\mathcal{L}_p-$SPACES ON FINITE VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

M. JUNGE, F. SUKOCHEV, AND D. ZANIN

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{L}(H)$ be the $*$-algebra of all bounded operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H and let $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ equipped with a Banach norm which is distinct from the Schatten-von Neumann ideal $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{H})$, $1 \le p < 2$. We prove that $\mathcal{I}$ isomorphically embeds into an L_p-space $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$, $1 \le p < 2$, (here, $\mathcal{R}$ is the hyperfinite II_1-factor) if its commutative core (that is, Calkin space for $\mathcal{I}$) isomorphically embeds into $L_p(0,1)$. Furthermore, we prove that an Orlicz ideal $\mathcal{L}_M(H) \neq \mathcal{L}_p(H)$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$, $1 \le p < 2$, if and only if it is an interpolation space for the Banach couple $(\mathcal{L}_p(H), \mathcal{L}_2(H))$. Finally, we consider isomorphic embeddings of $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ into L_p-spaces associated with arbitrary finite von Neumann algebras.

1. Introduction

Classifying subspaces of Banach spaces is in general a very difficult task, and so far can only be achieved for very special examples of classical function spaces. A beautiful example is Dacuhna-Castelle characterization of subspaces of $L_1(0,1)$ with a symmetric basis, as average of Orlicz spaces. This characterization is genuinely based on the analysis of independent copies and, hence, is probabilistic in nature. Noncommutative function spaces appear naturally in operator theory and noncommutative geometry, and hence it is reasonable to expect a similar striking result for symmetric subspaces of noncommutative L_1-spaces or even noncommutative L_p-spaces in the interesting range for $1 \le p < 2$. Again M. Kadec discovery of $q-$stable random variables resulting in embedding l_q into $L_p(0,1)$ serves as inspiration for our results. However, the noncommutative notion of independence is much more ambiguous in the noncommutative setting. Therefore we prefer to use more functional analytic tools, in particular (several versions) of the Kruglov operator introduced in [5] (see [47] for an alternative approach using Poisson processes going back to [32]).

A detailed study of symmetric subspaces of L_1 was done by J. Bretagnolle and D. Dacunha-Castelle (see [11, 12, 19]). They proved that, for every given mean zero $f \in L_p$, the sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of its independent copies is equivalent, in L_p, to the standard basis of some Orlicz sequence space l_M [19, Theorem 1, p.X.8]. Later some of these results were independently rediscovered by M. Braverman [14, 15]. Note that the methods used in [11, 12, 19, 14, 15] depend heavily on the techniques related to the theory of random processes. In a recent paper [8], a different approach based

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L53; secondary 81S25.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative independence, Banach space embeddings.

The first author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1201886. The second and third authors are supported by ARC.

on methods and ideas from the interpolation theory of operators and the usage of so-called Kruglov operator [4, 5, 6, 7] is suggested.

The main topic of this paper is the study of (symmetric) subspaces of the noncommutative analogue of the space $L_p(0,1)$, i.e. the space $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R},\tau)$ of τ-measurable affiliated with the hyperfinite II_1-factor $\mathcal{R}$ of finite p-norm. Indeed, the hyperfinite and finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is equipped with a unique tracial state τ and the couple $(\mathcal{R},\tau)$ may be considered as a natural noncommutative analogue of the pair $(L_\infty(0,1),dm)$ (here, dm is the Lebesgue measure). In particular, the trace τ is normal and faithful (see next section for details). More generally, closed τ-measurable operators affiliated to $\mathcal{R}$ form a $*$-algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R},\tau)$ which is analogous to the algebra $L_0(0,1)$ of all (unbounded) Lebesgue measurable functions on $(0,1)$. The precise definition of the L_p-spaces associated with $\mathcal{R}$ mimics the classical one

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}) := \{A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R},\tau) : \ \tau(|A|^p) < \infty\}.$$

The separable space $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ plays a role in the class of noncommutative L_p-spaces associated with semifinite von Neumann algebras (see e.g. [53]) similar to that of the role of the space $L_p(0,1)$ in the class of all L_p-spaces on σ-finite measure spaces.

The class of symmetric subspaces of the space $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ is naturally understood as subspaces isomorphic to Banach ideals in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, the only von Neumann factor of the type I_∞. Indeed, the latter class corresponds to that of symmetric sequence spaces [40, 48]. For example, when symmetric sequence space in question is l_q, then the corresponding Banach ideal is the classical Schatten-von Neumann class $\mathcal{L}_q(H)$. Thus, the precise form of the main question studied in this article is as follows.

Question 1. *Which Banach ideals in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ admit an isomorphic embedding into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$?*

The following result taken from [61, Theorem 3.1], [29, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2], [34] encapsulates all available information to date.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the hyperfinite II_1-factor. We have*

(a) *$\mathcal{L}_2(H)$ is the only Banach ideal in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ isomorphically embeddable into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ for $p \geq 2$.*
(b) *$\mathcal{L}_p(H)$ does not isomorphically embed into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ for $1 \leq p < 2$.*
(c) *$\mathcal{L}_q(H)$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ for $1 \leq p < q < 2$.*

In this paper, we investigate this question in the setting $1 \leq p < 2$. To state our main results, we need a notion of commutative core of an ideal, which is also frequently called a Calkin space (see e.g. [48]). Fix an orthonormal basis in H (the particular choice of a basis is inessential) and identify the algebra l_∞ of all bounded sequences with the subalgebra of all diagonal operators on H with respect to this basis. Given an ideal $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, we define its commutative core by

$$I := \mathcal{I} \cap l_\infty$$

(that is, the commutative core I corresponding to $\mathcal{I}$ is the collection of all diagonal operators from $\mathcal{L}(H)$ belonging to $\mathcal{I}$). If $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$ is a Banach ideal, then its commutative core I is equipped with the induced norm $\|x\|_I := \|x\|_{\mathcal{I}}$, $x \in I$. It can be shown that $(I, \|\cdot\|_I)$ is a symmetric sequence space. We are now able to state the main results of the paper.

Theorem 3. *A separable Banach ideal $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{L}_p(H)$ in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ admits an isomorphic embedding into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$, $1 \leq p < 2$, provided that its commutative core I admits an isomorphic embedding into $L_p(0,1)$.*

The separability condition, as well as the condition $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{L}_p(H)$ are obviously essential (see Theorem 2 (b) above).

The result of Theorem 3 heavily relies on the classification of Orlicz ideals which admit an isomorphic embedding into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ and which is a perfect noncommutative analogue of results from [11, 12, 19, 8].

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the hyperfinite II_1-factor, let $\mathcal{L}_M(H) \neq \mathcal{L}_p(H)$ be an Orlicz ideal and let $1 \leq p < 2$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *The space $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$.*
(ii) *The space $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ is p-convex and 2-concave.*
(iii) *The Orlicz function M on $(0,\infty)$ is equivalent on $[0,1]$ to some p-convex and 2-concave Orlicz function.*
(iv) *The space $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ is an interpolation space for the couple $(\mathcal{L}_p(H), \mathcal{L}_2(H))$.*

Remark 5. *The condition $\mathcal{L}_M(H) \neq \mathcal{L}_p(H)$ guarantees that $M(t) \not\sim t^p$ as $t \to 0$.*

Our second main result may be viewed as a converse to Theorem 3 under an additional assumption that the hyperfinite factor $\mathcal{R}$ is replaced with an arbitrary finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$.

Theorem 6. *For a separable Banach ideal $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{L}_p(H)$ in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, the following conditions are equivalent*

(i) *$\mathcal{I}$ admits an isomorphic embedding into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, for some finite von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$.*
(ii) *The commutative core I of the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ admits an isomorphic embedding into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, for some finite von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{N},\tau)$.*

Remark 7. *We do not claim that the von Neumann algebras in* (i) *and* (ii) *are the same.*

The following question remains open.

Question 8. *Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ admits an isomorphic embedding into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, for some finite von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$. Is it true that the commutative core I of the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ admits an isomorphic embedding into $L_p(0,1)$?*

Finally, we are compelled to say a few words about our techniques and methods, which we believe are of wider applicability and of interest in their own right. Our main technical instrument is the Kruglov operator (used, e.g. in the proof of the most difficult implication (iii)⇒(i) in Theorem 4) which is a replacement of integration with respect to the Poisson process (see e.g. [47]). In the special setting of the commutative von Neumann algebra $(L_\infty(0,1), dm)$, such an operator was firstly introduced in [4, 5] (see also the survey [7]). In the noncommutative setting, this operator is defined and studied in this paper. In Section 3, we introduce the Kruglov operator affiliated with finite von Neumann algebras, basically mimicking the approach from [5]. In Section 4, we introduce such an operator affiliated already with a semifinite non-finite von Neumann algebra and this is an important extension from our viewpoint. We emphasize that the germ of our approach to this definition can be found already in [34], although our present presentation and

approach are quite different from those given in [34]. Theorem (6) makes crucial, and extends, the work of Kwapien and Schütt [45].

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a complex infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space equipped with an inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H$ and $\mathcal{L}(H)$ be the C^*-algebra of all bounded linear operators on H equipped with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. We denote by Tr the classical trace on $\mathcal{L}(H)$. For every compact operator $A\in\mathcal{L}(H)$, let $\mu(A)=\{\mu(k,A)\}_{k\geq 0}$ be the decreasing sequence of singular values of the operator A, counted with multiplicities.

For $A\in\mathcal{L}(H)$ we use the following standard notations

$$|A|:=\sqrt{A^*A},\quad \Re A:=\frac{A+A^*}{2},\quad \Im A:=\frac{A-A^*}{2i},$$

and for $A=A^*\in\mathcal{L}(H)$ we set

$$A_+:=\frac{|A|+A}{2},\quad A_-:=\frac{|A|-A}{2}.$$

The notations $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ usually stand for finite or semifinite von Neumann algebras. By $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}}$) we denote the unit element of the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}$). For brevity we use simply $\mathbb{1}$ instead of both $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$ or $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}}$. This should not lead to any confusion.

For positive real numbers a,b and some constant $C>0$ we write $a\sim b$, if the inequality $Ca\leq b\leq a/C$ holds. When we need to specify the constant C, we will use the notation $a\overset{C}{\sim}b$.

2.1. **Calkin correspondence.** Fix an orthonormal basis in H (the particular choice of a basis is inessential). We identify the algebra l_∞ of bounded complex sequences with the subalgebra of all diagonal operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ with respect to the chosen basis. Given an ideal $\mathcal{I}\in\mathcal{L}(H)$, we define its *commutative core* $I=\mathcal{I}\cap l_\infty$ (that is, the commutative core is the collection of all diagonal operators in $\mathcal{I}$). A famous result due to Calkin [17] asserts that one can recover the original ideal $\mathcal{I}$ by the formula

$$\mathcal{I}=\{A\in\mathcal{L}(H):\ \mu(A)\in I\}.$$

The crucial property of the commutative core I is that,

$$x\in I,\ \ \mu(y)=\mu(x)\Longrightarrow y\in I. \tag{1}$$

In fact, Calkin theorem [17] states that every ideal in l_∞ with property (1) is a commutative core of some ideal in $\mathcal{L}(H)$.

Whenever an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is equipped with a Banach norm[1] $\|\cdot\|$ such that the multiplication $A\to AB$ is continuous mapping on $\mathcal{I}$ for every $B\in\mathcal{I}$, we call $(\mathcal{I},\|\cdot\|)$ a *Banach ideal*. For every Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, there exists an equivalent unitarily invariant norm on $\mathcal{I}$ given by the formula

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\sup_{\substack{B,C\in\mathcal{L}(H)\\ \|B\|_\infty,\|C\|_\infty\leq 1}}\|BAC\|.$$

That is, we have $\|AU\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\|UA\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all $A\in\mathcal{I}$ and for all unitary $U\in\mathcal{L}(H)$. In particular, we have

$$A\in\mathcal{I},\ \mu(B)=\mu(A)\Longrightarrow B\in\mathcal{I},\ \|B\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}.$$

[1]For definiteness, we always assume that $\|A\|_\infty\leq\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for every $A\in\mathcal{I}$.

It follows immediately that

$$x \in I, \quad \mu(y) = \mu(x) \Longrightarrow y \in I, \quad \|y\|_I = \|x\|_I, \tag{2}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_I$ is the norm on I induced by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. An arbitrary Banach ideal I in l_∞ equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|_I$ satisfying (2) is called *symmetric sequence space* (see [46, 48]).

The question whether Calkin correspondence specializes to symmetric sequence spaces and Banach ideals in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ was fully resolved in [40] (see also [48]).

Theorem 9. [40, Theorem 8.11] *If $(I, \|\cdot\|_I)$ is a symmetric sequence space, then the corresponding ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ equipped with a functional*

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}} : A \to \|\mu(A)\|_I, \quad A \in \mathcal{I}$$

is a Banach ideal in $\mathcal{L}(H)$.

A variant of Calkin correspondence exists for arbitrary semifinite von Neumann algebra and symmetric function spaces on $(0, \infty)$ (see [47, 48]). Theorem 9 also works in this generality (see [40, 48]). However, in this paper, we deal only with $\mathcal{L}_p-$spaces on semifinite von Neumann algebras, which can be defined without resorting to the general machinery of [40, 48] (see Subsection 2.7 below).

Let $m \geq 1$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^m$. We shall frequently view a finite sequence $\alpha = (\alpha(0), \dots, \alpha(m-1))$ as an element of symmetric space I by identifying α with an element $(\alpha(0), \dots, \alpha(m-1), 0, \dots)$.

2.2. **Banach ideals in $\mathcal{L}(H)$: examples.** The best known example of a Banach ideal in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is a Schatten-von Neumann ideal $\mathcal{L}_p(H)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, defined by setting

$$\mathcal{L}_p(H) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(H) : \ \mu(A) \in l_p\}, \quad \|A\|_p = \|\mu(A)\|_p,$$

where l_p is a Banach space of all p-summable sequences and $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the standard norm on l_p.

Given an Orlicz function M (that is, an even convex function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$), we define an Orlicz sequence ideal l_M in l_∞ as the space of all bounded sequences x such that

$$M\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \in l_1$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. An Orlicz sequence ideal equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{l_M} = \inf\left\{\lambda : \ \left\|M\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)\right\|_1 \leq 1\right\}$$

is a symmetric sequence space. An Orlicz ideal $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is now defined by setting

$$\mathcal{L}_M(H) = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(H) : \ \mu(A) \in l_M\}, \quad \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_M} = \|\mu(A)\|_{l_M}.$$

2.3. **Dilation operator.** let us recall that for $x = \{x(k)\}_{k \geq 0} \in l_\infty$, the discrete *dilation operators* is defined as follows

$$D_n(x) := \{\underbrace{x(0), \dots, x(0)}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{x(1), \dots, x(1)}_{n \text{ times}}, \dots\}, \quad D_{1/n}(x) := \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=kn}^{(k+1)n-1} x(l)\right\}_{k \geq 0} \tag{3}$$

and satisfies

$$\|D_n\|_{l_p \to l_p} = n^{1/p}, \quad \|D_{1/n}\|_{l_p \to l_p} = n^{-1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty. \tag{4}$$

The continuous version of dilation operator is defined below. For every $u \in (0,\infty)$, the dilation operator D_u on $L_1(0,\infty)$ is defined by

$$(D_u x)(s) := x\Big(\frac{s}{u}\Big), \quad s \in (0,\infty), \quad x \in L_1(0,\infty). \tag{5}$$

For $u \in (0,1)$, the dilation operator D_u on $L_1(0,1)$ is defined by

$$(D_u x)(s) := \begin{cases} x(\frac{s}{u}), & s \in (0,u) \\ 0, & s \in [u,1) \end{cases}, \quad x \in L_1(0,1).$$

For the general properties of dilation operator see [47].

2.4. **Singular value function.** In this paper, $\mathcal{M}$ always stands for a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ the lattice of all projections from $\mathcal{M}$ and by $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of all τ-finite projections. An unbounded densely defined operator is said to be affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ if it commutes with all elements in the commutant of $\mathcal{M}$. A closed densely defined operator A affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ is called *τ-measurable* if, for every $n \geq 0$, we have $\tau(E_{|A|}(n,\infty)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where by $E_{|A|}(n,\infty)$ we denote the spectral projection of the operator $|A|$. If, in particular, $\tau(\mathbb{1}) < \infty$, then every closed operator affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ is τ-measurable. We denote the *-algebra of all τ-measurable operators by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ with the strong sum and the strong product (see [26, 48]). By t_τ we denote the measure topology on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Observe that the algebra $\mathcal{N}$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ with respect to the measure topology t_τ (see e.g. [21] and references therein). For $x = x^* \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ by $\exp(ix)$ we denote the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^j}{j!}$, which converges in the measure topology.

For every $A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, its singular value function $\mu(A) : t \to \mu(t,A)$, $t > 0$, is defined by the formula (see e.g. [26])

$$\mu(t,A) := \inf\{\|A(\mathbb{1}-p)\|_\infty : \ \tau(p) \leq t\}.$$

Equivalently, the function $\mu(A)$ can be defined in terms of the distribution function $d_{|A|}$ of $|A|$. For an arbitrary self-adjoint $B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, its distribution function d_B is defined by setting

$$d_B(s) := \tau(E_B(s,\infty)), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where E_B denotes the spectral measure of the operator B. One can define $\mu(A)$ as the right inverse of the function $d_{|A|}$, i.e.

$$\mu(t;A) = \inf\{s : d_{|A|}(s) \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In addition, if $A_0,\dots,A_{n-1} \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then (see e.g. [48])

$$\mu\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu(A_i)\Big) \leq D_n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu(A_i). \tag{6}$$

When $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(H)$ and τ is the standard trace Tr, and when the operator A is compact, the function $\mu(A)$ is a step function whose values are the singular values of the operator A.

We call the operators $A = A^*, B = B^* \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ *equimeasurable* if $d_{A_+} = d_{B_+}$ and $d_{A_-} = d_{B_-}$. Observe that if $\tau(\mathbb{1}) < \infty$, then operators $A = A^*, B = B^* \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ are equimeasurable if and only if $d_A = d_B$. For equimeasurable operators $A = A^*, B = B^* \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, we also have $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$. The notion of equimeasurability for the operators from different algebras is defined similarly.

Finally, we call the operator $A = A^* \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ *symmetrically distributed* if it is equimeasurable with the operator $-A$.

For every $A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, the spectral projection $E_{|A|}(0,\infty)$ is called the *support projection of* A (written $\mathrm{supp}(A)$). If $\tau(\mathrm{supp}(A)) < \infty$, then we call A *finitely supported*.

In the special case when $\mathcal{M} = L_\infty(0,1)$ and τ is a Lebesgue integral, the definition of distribution function (and related definition of equimeasurability) coincides with the classical one. In this case the singular valued function $\mu(A)$ defined above coincides with a classic notion of decreasing rearrangement (see e.g. [46]).

The following notion is a fundamental concept in classical symmetric function space theory (we refer the interested reader to the book [48, Chapter II] for detailed discussion of this important notion).

Definition 10. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra and let $A, B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. The operator B is said to be submajorized (in the sense of Hardy, Littlewood and Polya) by A and written $B \prec\prec A$ if*

$$\int_0^t \mu(s,B)ds \le \int_0^t \mu(s,A)ds, \quad t \ge 0.$$

When $\mathcal{M}$ is the algebra l_∞ ($L_\infty(0,1)$, $L_\infty(0,\infty)$) the definition above reduces to the classical notion of submajorization of sequences (functions).

The following property of submajorization (see e.g. [43, II.6.1]) is used below

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \prec\prec \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(x_k), \quad x_k \in L_\infty(0,\infty)\ (x_k \in L_\infty(0,1)), \quad k \ge 1. \tag{7}$$

Here, it is assumed that the series on the right hand side converges in $L_\infty(0,\infty)$ (in $L_\infty(0,1)$). This guarantees that the series in the left hand side converges in $L_\infty(0,\infty)$ (in $L_\infty(0,1)$).

2.5. **Properties of the Orlicz norm.** For the general theory of Orlicz spaces we refer to [54]. Let us present the following simple property that is used frequently in the text. If two Orlicz functions M_1 and M_2 satisfies

$$M_1(t) \le M_2(t), \quad t \in (0,\infty),$$

then for any $x \in l_{M_2}$, we have that $x \in l_{M_1}$ and $\|x\|_{l_{M_1}} \le \|x\|_{l_{M_2}}$.

Two Orlicz functions M_1 and M_2 on $(0,\infty)$ are said to be equivalent on $[0,1]$ ($M_1 \sim M_2$), if there exists a positive finite constant C such that

$$C^{-1}M_1(t) \le M_2(t) \le CM_1(t), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

An Orlicz function M on $(0,\infty)$ is said to be

(i) p-convex if the function $t \to M(t^{1/p})$, $t > 0$, is convex.
(ii) q-concave if the function $t \to M(t^{1/q})$, $t > 0$, is concave.

The proof of lemma below follows immediately from [8, Lemma 5] by substituting $st = s_1$ and $t = s_2$ in that lemma.

Lemma 11. *Let $1 \le p < q < \infty$. An Orlicz function M on $(0,\infty)$ is equivalent on $[0,1]$ to a p-convex and q-concave Orlicz function if and only if it satisfies the conditions*

$$\frac{M(s_1)}{s_1^p} \le \mathrm{const} \cdot \frac{M(s_2)}{s_2^p}, \quad 0 < s_1 \le s_2 \le 1. \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{M(s_1)}{s_1^q} \geq \text{const} \cdot \frac{M(s_2)}{s_2^q}, \quad 0 < s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1. \tag{9}$$

If M itself is p-convex and q-concave, then the constants in (8) *and* (9) *are equal to* 1.

Remark 12. *Every Orlicz space has the Fatou property (see p.64 in* [43]*). Every symmetric sequence space with the Fatou property coincides with its second Köthe dual (see p.65 in* [43]*). In particular, the norm in such a space is monotone with respect to the submajorization.*

2.6. **p-concavity, q-convexity, upper p-estimate and lower q-estimate of Banach ideals.** Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. The Banach ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ is said to be *p-convex* (respectively, *q-concave*) if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that, for any finite sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^n \subseteq \mathcal{I}$,

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_{k=0}^n |x_k|^p \Big)^{1/p} \Big\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq M \Big(\sum_{k=0}^n \|x_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}^p \Big)^{1/p}, \tag{10}$$

respectively,

$$\Big(\sum_{k=0}^n \|x_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}^q \Big)^{1/q} \leq M \Big\| \Big(\sum_{k=0}^n |x_k|^q \Big)^{1/q} \Big\|_{\mathcal{I}}. \tag{11}$$

These definitions are similar to the usual notions of p-convexity and q-concavity for Banach lattices as given in [47].

If $x \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, then the *right* and *left support projections* of x, denoted by $r(x)$ and $l(x)$ respectively, are the projections onto the orthogonal complements of the kernels of x, x^* respectively. The operators $x, y \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ are said to be *left* (respectively, *right*) *disjointly supported* if $r(x)r(y) = 0$ (respectively, $l(x)l(y) = 0$).

We now make the following definition. Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. The Banach ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ is said to satisfy an *upper* p-estimate (respectively, *lower* q-estimate) if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that, for every finite sequence $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ of pairwise left disjointly supported elements of $\mathcal{I}$, it follows that

$$\Big\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_i \Big\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq K \Big(\sum_{k=0}^n \|x_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}^p \Big)^{1/p} \tag{12}$$

respectively,

$$K \Big\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_i \Big\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq \Big(\sum_{k=0}^n \|x_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}^q \Big)^{1/q}. \tag{13}$$

We remark that "left" can be replaced throughout by "right" since x, y are left disjointly supported if and only if x^*, y^* are right disjointly supported. For classical (commutative) counterparts of these notions for Banach lattices we again refer to [47]. For the study of connections between just defined properties of $\mathcal{I}$ and

corresponding classical properties of its commutative core I we refer to [3] and [22].

2.7. **Type and cotype inequalities in the noncommutative $\mathcal{L}_p-$spaces.** A noncommutative L_p-space associated with an algebra $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (written $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M})$) is defined by setting

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}) = \{A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \ \tau(|A|^p) < \infty\}, \quad \|A\|_p = \tau(|A|^p)^{1/p}.$$

Observe that $A \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if $\mu(A)$ belongs to the Lebesgue space $L_p(0,\infty)$. We also have $\|A\|_p = \|\mu(A)\|_p$ for every $A \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M})$.

For reader's convenience, we show the elementary right hand side estimate of (15) below. The (substantially harder) proof of the left hand side estimate is due to Tomczak-Jaegermann and can be found in [62] (see also [25]). For brevity, we state this result using the language and notations from the theory of tensor products of von Neumann algebras. Recall that the Rademacher functions are defined as follows

$$r_k(t) := \operatorname{sign}\sin(2^{k+1}\pi t), \quad t \in [0,1], \quad k = 0,1,2,\dots \tag{14}$$

(see also [46, 47]).

Theorem 13. *Let $n \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra and let $A_k \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M})$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. If $1 \leq p < 2$, then*

$$\text{const} \cdot \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \|A_k\|_p^2\Big)^{1/2} \leq \Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k \otimes r_k\Big\|_p \leq \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \|A_k\|_p^p\Big)^{1/p}. \tag{15}$$

Here r_k, $k \geq 0$, are Rademacher functions and the norm in the middle is the usual L_p-norm in the L_p-space $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}\bar{\otimes}L_\infty(0,1), \tau \otimes dm)$.

Proof of the right hand side of (15). For $p = 1$, it follows from the triangle inequality in $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}\bar{\otimes}L_\infty(0,1))$ that

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k \otimes r_k\Big\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \|A_k\|_1, \quad n \geq 0.$$

For $p = 2$, it is immediate that

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k \otimes r_k\Big\|_2 = \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \|A_k\|_2^2\Big)^{1/2}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The right hand side inequality of (15) now follows by applying complex interpolation method (see e.g. [42]). □

2.8. **Independent (noncommutative) random variables.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal trace such that $\tau(\mathbb{1}) = 1$, where we denote the unit element of $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathbb{1}$. Self-adjoint operators affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ are called (noncommutative) *random variables*.

Commuting unital subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, of $\mathcal{M}$ are called [2] *independent* if

$$\tau(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n) = \tau(A_1)\tau(A_2)\cdots\tau(A_n)$$

whenever $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Random variables $A_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, are called *independent* if the von Neumann subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, generated by spectral projections of A_k are independent.

[2]This definition is taken from [52] (see Definition 5.1 there).

2.9. **Quantization of the algebra $\mathcal{M}$.** For a finite (semifinite) von Neumann algebra we use the following notations.

$$\mathcal{M}^{\otimes k} = \underbrace{\mathcal{M}\bar{\otimes}\cdots\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{M}}_{k \text{ times}}, \quad \tau^{\otimes k} = \underbrace{\tau\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau}_{k \text{ times}}, \quad k\in\mathbb{N},$$

for von Neumann algebra tensor products. It is a standard fact (see e.g. Proposition 11.2.20 and Proposition 11.2.21 in [39]) that $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}$ is a finite (semifinite) von Neumann algebra and $\tau^{\otimes k}$ is a faithful normal finite (semifinite) trace on $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}$. For convenience of notations, we set $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 0}$ to be $\mathbb{C}$ and $\tau^{\otimes 0}:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ to be identity mapping. Define the positive linear mapping

$$E_k : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}\to\mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}, \quad E_k(x_1\otimes\cdots\otimes x_k) := \frac{1}{k!}\sum_{\rho} x_{\rho(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{\rho(k)}, \quad k\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{16}$$

where ρ runs over the set $\mathfrak{S}_k$ of all permutations of $\{1,\cdots,k\}$. It can be directly verified that

$$\mathcal{M}_s^{\otimes k} := E_k(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes k})$$

is a von Neumann subalgebra and that $E_k : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}\to\mathcal{M}_s^{\otimes k}$ is the conditional expectation. For convenience of notations, we set $\mathcal{M}_s^{\otimes 0}$ to be $\mathbb{C}$.

Define the *(symmetric or bosonic) quantized von Neumann algebra* $M_s(\mathcal{M})$ by setting

$$M_s(\mathcal{M}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_s^{\otimes k}. \tag{17}$$

if $\tau(\mathbb{1})<\infty$, then the expression

$$\sigma := \exp(-\tau(\mathbb{1}))\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\tau^{\otimes k} \tag{18}$$

defines a trace on $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}^{\otimes k}$ (and, obviously on the $*$-subalgebra $M_s(\mathcal{M})$). Observe that $\sigma(\mathbb{1})=1$. For a τ-finite projection $e\in\mathcal{M}$ we will also consider the algebra $M_s(e\mathcal{M}e)$ equipped with the trace

$$\sigma_e := \exp(-\tau(e))\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\tau^{\otimes k}. \tag{19}$$

Note that σ_e is defined on $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}(e\mathcal{M}e)^{\otimes k}$. By p_k we denote natural projections of the algebra $M_s(\mathcal{M})$ given by

$$p_k := 0\oplus\ldots\oplus 0\oplus\mathbb{1}^{\otimes k}\oplus 0\oplus\ldots\in M_s(\mathcal{M}), \quad k\geq 0. \tag{20}$$

We identify the algebra $\mathcal{M}_s^{\otimes k}$ with a subalgebra of $M_s(\mathcal{M})$ by means of the projection p_k.

2.10. **Inductive limits of C^*-algebras.** We refer the reader to the books [59] and [51] for the details of the inductive limit construction used in Section 4 (note that in [51] the notion of direct limit is used instead of inductive limit).

Lemma 14. [59, Proposition 1.23.2] *Let $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be C^*-algebras. Suppose that, for every $n\leq m$, there exists a $^*-$unital isomorphic embedding $\pi_{n,m}:\mathcal{A}_n\to\mathcal{A}_m$. If*

$$\pi_{n,k} = \pi_{m,k}\circ\pi_{n,m} \quad n\leq m\leq k,$$

then there exists a C^*-algebra A and a net of *-isomorphic embeddings $\pi_n : \mathcal{A}_n \to A$, $n \geq 0$, such that

$$\pi_n = \pi_m \circ \pi_{n,m} \quad 0 \leq n \leq m \tag{21}$$

and $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} \pi_n(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is uniformly dense in A.

The algebra A from Lemma 14 is recall *the inductive limit* of $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. In parallel, we construct a inductive limit of states.

Lemma 15. [59, Definition 1.23.10] *Let the C^*-algebra A be the inductive limit of C^*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_n$, $n \geq 0$. If states σ_n on $\mathcal{A}_n$, $n \geq 0$, are such that*

$$\sigma_n = \sigma_m \circ \pi_{n,m}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq m,$$

then there exists a state σ on A such that

$$\sigma_n = \sigma \circ \pi_n, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{22}$$

If the states σ_n, $n \geq 0$, are tracial, then so is the limit state σ.

Recall that a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ is called a noncommutative probability space, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a von Neumann algebra equipped with faithful tracial state σ.

Lemma 16. *Let noncommutative probability spaces $(\mathcal{A}_n, \sigma_n), n \geq 0$, be as in Lemmas 14 and 15, let A and σ be the inductive limits of $\mathcal{A}_n$ and σ_n, respectively. Then σ induces a tracial state on von Neumann algebra generated by cyclic representation (θ, H) of A with respect to σ, such that*

$$\sigma \circ \theta \circ \pi_n = \sigma_n. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Let (θ, H) be cyclic representation of A with respect to σ and let $\mathcal{A} := \overline{\theta(A)}^{wo}$. For every $x \in \mathcal{A}$ we set $\sigma(x) := \langle x\xi, \xi\rangle_H$, where ξ be the cyclic vector of the representation (θ, H). One can easily prove that in this way we can extend the state σ defined on A up to a faithful state on $\mathcal{A}$. By construction of σ and (22) we have $\sigma \circ \theta \circ \pi_n = \sigma_n$. It remains to show that this extension is in fact trace on $\mathcal{A}$. Let firstly $x \in \mathcal{A}, y \in A$, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 0} \subset A$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{wo} x$. Since the state σ is tracial on A we have $\sigma(x_n y) = \sigma(y x_n)$. Hence, *wo*-convergence of x_n to x implies that $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(yx)$. Further, for arbitrary $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\sigma(xy_n) = \sigma(y_n x)$, where $y_n \xrightarrow{wo} y$, and therefore, $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(yx)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. □

Lemma 17. *If $(\mathcal{A}_n, \sigma_n), (\mathcal{A}, \sigma), n \geq 0$, are as in Lemma 16 and in addition, every $\mathcal{A}_n$ is hyperfinite, then $\mathcal{A}$ is also hyperfinite.*

Proof. Let (θ, H) be as in Lemma 16. For a given $n \geq 0$, select finite dimensional subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_{n,m}$, $m \geq 0$, in $\mathcal{A}_n$ such that their union is weak* dense in $\mathcal{A}_n$. Define finite dimensional subalgebras $\mathcal{B}_{n,m}$, $n, m \geq 0$, in $\mathcal{A}$ by setting $\mathcal{B}_{n,m} = (\theta \circ \pi_n)(\mathcal{A}_{n,m})$. We have that $\bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_{n,m}$ is weak* dense in $(\theta \circ \pi_n)(\mathcal{A}_n)$, since trace preserving $*$-homomorphism $\theta \circ \pi_n$ from $\mathcal{A}_n$ onto $(\theta \circ \pi_n)(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is weak$*$-continuous [16, Theorem 2.4.23]. Consequently, $\bigcup_{n,m} \mathcal{B}_{n,m}$ is weak* dense in $\bigcup_n (\theta \circ \pi_n)(\mathcal{A}_n)$. By Lemma 14 the union $\bigcup_n \pi_n(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is uniformly dense in the algebra A, and therefore $\bigcup_n (\theta \circ \pi_n)(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is weak* dense in $\mathcal{A}$. Thus, $\bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_{n,m}$ is weak* dense in $\mathcal{A}$ and, therefore, $\mathcal{A}$ is also hyperfinite. □

2.11. **Ultraproducts of von Neumann algebras and $\mathcal{L}_p-$spaces.** Let $(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)$, $k\geq 0$, be noncommutative probability spaces. Consider the C^*-algebra

$$l_\infty(\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k\geq 0})=\Big\{\{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0}\in\prod_{k\geq 0}\mathcal{N}_k:\ \sup_{k\geq 0}\|x(k)\|_\infty<\infty\Big\}.$$

We fix a free ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and equip $l_\infty(\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k\geq 0})$ with a tracial state $\Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}$ defined by the formula

$$\Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}(\{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0})=\lim_{k\to\omega}\{\tau_k(x(k))\}_{k\geq 0}.$$

Consider the ideal J in $l_\infty(\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k\geq 0})$ defined by the formula

$$J=\Big\{x\in l_\infty(\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k\geq 0}):\ \Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}(|x|^2)=0\Big\}.$$

The quotient C^*-algebra

$$\Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}\stackrel{def}{=}l_\infty(\{\mathcal{N}_k\}_{k\geq 0})/J$$

is, in fact, a von Neumann algebra (see e.g. [50, 64]). The tracial state $\Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}$ generates a faithful tracial state on $\Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}$ (which we also denote by $\Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}$). Thus, $(\Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0},\Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0})$ becomes a noncommutative probability space. For a special case $\mathcal{N}_k=\mathcal{N}$, $\tau_k=\tau$, it is common to write $\mathcal{N}^\omega$ and τ^ω.

To define a Banach space $\Big(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}$, we equip the set of all bounded sequences $\{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0}\in\prod_{k\geq 0}\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)$ with a seminorm

$$\|\{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0}\|_p=\lim_{k\to\omega}\|x(k)\|_p,\quad \{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0}\in\prod_{k\geq 0}\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)\text{ is bounded.}$$

We define $\Big(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}$ to be a quotient space over the linear subspace

$$\Big\{\{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0}:\ \lim_{k\to\omega}\|x(k)\|_p=0\Big\}$$

and equip it with a quotient norm.

It is tempting to make an identification

$$\Big(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}=\mathcal{L}_p(\Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0},\Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}).\tag{24}$$

Unfortunately, such an identification does not hold. In fact, we have (see Lemma 2.13 in [29] and diagram following it) that

$$\Big(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}\supset\mathcal{L}_p(\Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0},\Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}).\tag{25}$$

However, the following lemma shows how to use the uniform integrability condition to deduce that a certain element of $\Big(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0}$ belongs to the $\mathcal{L}_p-$space $\mathcal{L}_p(\Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0},\Big(\tau_k\Big)^\omega_{k\geq 0})$.

The sequence $\{y(k)\}_{k\geq 0}\in\prod_{k\geq 0}\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)$ is said to be uniformly integrable if, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists K such that $\tau(|y(k)|E_{|y(k)|}(K,\infty))\leq\varepsilon$ for all $k\geq 0$.

Lemma 18. *If the sequence $x = \{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0} \in \prod_{k\geq 0} \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k, \tau_k)$ is such that the sequence $\{|x(k)|^p\}_{k\geq 0} \in \prod_{k\geq 0} \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}_k, \tau_k)$ is uniformly integrable, then*

$$x \in \mathcal{L}_p(\Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^{\omega}_{k\geq 0}, \Big(\tau_k\Big)^{\omega}_{k\geq 0}).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we have $x(k) \geq 0$, $k \geq 0$. For a given $t > 0$, consider a operator $x_t = \{x(k)E_{x(k)}[0,t]\}_{n\geq 0} \in \Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^{\omega}_{k\geq 0}$. We have that

$$\|x - x_t\|_{\Big(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k,\tau_k)\Big)^{\omega}_{k\geq 0}} = \lim_{k\to\omega} \|x(k)E_{x(k)}(t,\infty)\|_p \leq (\sup_{k\geq 0} \tau(x(k)^p E_{x(k)}(t,\infty)))^{1/p}.$$

Since the sequence $\{x(k)^p\}_{k\geq 0}$, is uniformly integrable, it follows that the right hand side tends to 0 as $t \to \infty$. Thus, x admits an approximation in $\Big(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_k, \tau_k)\Big)^{\omega}_{k\geq 0}$ by bounded operators. By Lemma 2.13 in [29], we have that $x \in \mathcal{L}_p(\Big(\mathcal{N}_k\Big)^{\omega}_{k\geq 0}, \Big(\tau_k\Big)^{\omega}_{k\geq 0})$. □

3. Noncommutative Kruglov operator (τ-finite case)

The Kruglov operator was originally introduced in the setting of classical (commutative) probability theory in [4, 5]. The origins of this construction go back to Braverman [13] and Kruglov [44]. Note that in commutative setting the Kruglov operator is originally defined on the space of all measurable functions (see [5] and [6]). In order to achieve main objective of this paper we will consider this operator on L_1-spaces associated with semifinite von Neumann algebras.

Let $\Omega = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty}(0,1)$ be the (infinite dimensional) hypercube equipped with the product Lebesgue measure dm^{∞}. The Kruglov operator K acts from $L_1(0,1)$ to $L_1(\Omega)$ by the following formula

$$Kx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi_{A_k} \otimes \chi_{(0,1)}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes \chi_{(0,1)}^{\otimes\infty}, \quad x \in L_1(0,1), \tag{26}$$

where A_k, $k \geq 0$, are pairwise disjoint sets with $m(A_k) = \frac{1}{e\cdot k!}$ for all $k \geq 0$ (so that $\cup_{k\geq 0} A_k = (0,1)$) and where χ_B is the indicator function of the measurable set $B \subset (0,1)$.

The following assertion is proved in [8]

Theorem 19. *The Kruglov operator $K : L_1(0,1) \to L_1(\Omega)$ defined in (26) satisfies the following properties.*

(i) *K maps positive random variables into positive ones.*
(ii) *K maps symmetrically distributed random variables into symmetrically distributed ones.*
(iii) *If $0 \leq x, y \in L_1(0,1)$ are equimeasurable, then so are $Kx, Ky \in L_1(\Omega)$.*
(iv) *If $x_k \in L_1(0,1)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, are pairwise disjointly supported functions, then the functions $Kx_k \in L_1(0,1)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, are independent.*

The main aim of this section is to construct the noncommutative Kruglov operator in a τ-finite von Neumann algebra. Recall that if $x_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $k \geq 0$, if $e_k \in \mathcal{M}$, $k \geq 0$, are pairwise orthogonal projections, and if $y_k \in e_k\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)e_k$ are

such that $\mu(y_k) = \mu(x_k)$, $k \geq 0$, then we write

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y_k. \tag{27}$$

If $x_0, x_1, \ldots \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ then we view $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k$ as an element of $\mathcal{S}\big(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}, \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau\big)$.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal finite trace τ. For every $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, set

$$\mathscr{K} x = 0 \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)} \right). \tag{28}$$

Here, $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the unit element in $\mathcal{N}$. By definition $\mathscr{K} x$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}\Big(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}, \sigma\Big)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, where σ is defined by (18). The operator

$$\mathscr{K} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \to \mathcal{S}\Big(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}, \sigma\Big)$$

defined by (28) is said to be the *(noncommutative) Kruglov operator*.

The following lemma shows that the values of the Kruglov operator belong in fact to a smaller algebra.

Lemma 20. *For any $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, we have $\mathscr{K} x \in \mathcal{S}(M_s(\mathcal{N}), \sigma)$, where the algebra $M_s(\mathcal{N})$ is defined by* (17).

Proof. In order to prove the assertion of the lemma it is enough to show that $y_k(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes k}, \tau^{\otimes k})$, for any $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, where

$$y_k(x) := \sum_{m=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{29}$$

Let us first show that

$$y_k(x) \in \mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes k} \quad \text{for every} \quad x \in \mathcal{N}, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{30}$$

Indeed, since $x \in \mathcal{N}$, it follows that $y_k(x) \in \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}$. Consider the element

$$z_k(x) := k(x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-1)}) \in \mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then by the definition of E_k (see (16)), we have

$$E_k(z_k(x)) = k \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\rho} \tilde{x}_{\rho(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{x}_{\rho(k)}, \quad k \geq 1, \tag{31}$$

where $\tilde{x}_{\rho(j)} = x$, if $\rho(j) = 1$ and $\tilde{x}_{\rho(j)} = \mathbb{1}$ otherwise, $1 \leq j \leq k$, and ρ runs over the set of all permutations $\mathfrak{S}_k$. Expanding the right hand side of (31), we obtain

$$E_k(z_k(x)) = k \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \Big((k-1)!(x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-1)}) + (k-1)!(\mathbb{1} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-2)}) + \ldots$$

$$+ (k-1)!(\mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes x) \Big) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)} = y_k(x), \quad k \geq 1,$$

that completes the proof of (30).

Now let $x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$. Fix $k \geq 1$ and consider $x_n = xE_x([-n, n])$ and $y_k(x_n)$, $n \geq 1$. Since $x_n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (30) we have that $y_k(x_n) \in \mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes k}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Now observe that the sequence $\{y_k(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $y_k(x)$ in the measure

topology $t_{\tau^{\otimes k}}$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes k}, \tau^{\otimes k})$ is complete with respect to the measure topology, we obtain that $y_k(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes k}, \tau^{\otimes k})$. □

The following proposition collects some of important properties of the operator $\mathscr{K}$. Recall that $[x, y] := xy - yx$, $x, y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$.

Proposition 21. (i) *$\mathscr{K}x \in \mathcal{L}_1(M_s(\mathcal{N}), \sigma)$ and $\sigma(\mathscr{K}x) = \tau(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$.*
(ii) *For any $x, y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, we have that $[\mathscr{K}x, \mathscr{K}y] = \mathscr{K}[x, y]$.*
(iii) *$\mathscr{K}x \geq 0$ whenever $x \geq 0$;*
(iv) *$(\mathscr{K}x)^* = \mathscr{K}x$ whenever $x = x^*$.*

Proof. To prove (i), we show that $\sigma(\mathscr{K}x) = \tau(x)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\mathscr{K}x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\exp(\tau(\mathbb{1})) \cdot k!}\, \tau^{\otimes k}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \cdot \tau(x)\tau(\mathbb{1})^{k-1}}{\exp(\tau(\mathbb{1})) \cdot k!} = \frac{\tau(x)}{\exp(\tau(\mathbb{1}))} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(\mathbb{1})^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = \tau(x).\end{aligned}$$

(ii). A straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\sum_{m_1=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m_1-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m_1)}\Big)\Big(\sum_{m_2=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m_2-1)} \otimes y \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m_2)}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{m_1=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m_2=m_1+1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m_1-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes(m_2-m_1-1)} \otimes y \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m_2)} \\ &+ \sum_{m_2=1}^{k-1} \sum_{m_1=m_2+1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m_2-1)} \otimes y \otimes 1^{\otimes(m_1-m_2-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m_1)} \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes xy \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\end{aligned}$$

for every $k \geq 1$. It follows that, for every $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes y \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\Big) \\ &- \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes y \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes xy \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)} - \sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes yx \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes [x, y] \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$[\mathscr{K}x, \mathscr{K}y] = 0 \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes y \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\Big)\right.$$

$$-\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)}\otimes y\otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)}\otimes x\otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)}\Big)\Bigg) = \mathscr{K}[x,y].$$

Property (iii) follows from the definition of the operator $\mathscr{K}$. Property (iv) is a straightforward subsequence of (iii). □

The following result shows the connection between noncommutative and commutative Kruglov operators.

Lemma 22. *Let $\tau(\mathbb{1}) = 1$. Then for every positive $x \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, we have $\mu(K\mu(x)) = \mu(\mathscr{K}x)$.*

Proof. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that the operators $y_k(x)$ defined by (29) with respect to the algebra $(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}, \tau^{\otimes k})$ and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi_{(0,1)}^{\otimes(m-1)}\otimes\mu(x)\otimes\chi_{(0,1)}^{\otimes(k-m)},$$

with respect to (Ω, dm^∞) are equimeasurable (see e.g. [18]). Therefore, the operator $y_k(x)$ with respect to the algebra $(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes k}, \frac{1}{e^{\tau(1)}\cdot k!}\cdot\tau^{\otimes k})$ is equimeasurable with the operator

$$\chi_{A_k}\otimes\sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi_{(0,1)}^{\otimes(m-1)}\otimes\mu(x)\otimes\chi_{(0,1)}^{\otimes(k-m)},$$

with respect to (Ω, dm^∞), where A_k is the same as in (26). Thus, the operators $K\mu(x)$ and $\mathscr{K}x$ are equimeasurable as a direct sum of equimeasurable operators. □

It is not difficult to see that there is a much stronger connection than indicated by Lemma 22 between the commutative and noncommutative Kruglov operators. The following theorem states this connection in full generality. Since we do not use this theorem in this paper, we omit the proof.

Theorem 23. *Suppose that $\mathcal{N} = L_\infty(0,1)$. Then there exists trace preserving unital $*$-homomorphism $\gamma : M_s(\mathcal{N}) \to L_\infty(\Omega)$ such that its L_1-extension $\tilde{\gamma}$ satisfies $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathscr{K}(x)) = Kx$ for any $x \in L_1(0,1)$.*

The following important lemma delivers the expression for the characteristic function of the random variable $\mathscr{K}x$ in terms of that of the random variable x (compare with the Kruglov property studied in [13, 7]).

Lemma 24. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra equipped with a finite trace τ. The Kruglov operator $\mathscr{K} : \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau) \to \mathcal{L}_1(M_s(\mathcal{N}),\sigma)$ satisfies the condition*

$$\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}x)) = \exp(\tau(\exp(ix)-\mathbb{1})), \quad x = x^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau),$$

where σ is defined by (18) *and $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit element of the algebra $\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. Let $x = x^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ and let p_k $(k \geq 0)$ be a projection of the algebra $M_s(\mathcal{N})$ defined in (20). By definition of σ we have that

$$\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}x)) = \exp(-\tau(\mathbb{1}))\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\tau^{\otimes k}(p_k\exp(i\mathscr{K}x)). \tag{32}$$

Let $y \in \mathcal{S}(M_s(\mathcal{N}), \sigma)$. Observing that $p_k y^m = (p_k y)^m$ for all $m \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$, we infer that $p_k(\exp(iy)) = \exp(ip_k y)$. It follows that

$$p_k \exp(i\mathscr{K} x) = \exp(ip_k \mathscr{K} x), \quad k \geq 0. \tag{33}$$

Next we will show that

$$\tau^{\otimes k}(\exp(ip_k \mathscr{K} x)) = \tau^k(\exp(ix)), \quad k \geq 0. \tag{34}$$

Indeed, for every $x = x^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ and $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\exp\left(i \sum_{m=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}\right) = \prod_{m=1}^{k} \exp\left(\mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes ix \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}\right). \tag{35}$$

Considering each term of the latter product separately for every $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq m \leq k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp(\mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes ix \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}) \qquad (36)\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left(\mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes ix \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}\right)^j = \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^j}{j!}\right) \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)} \\ &= \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes \underbrace{\exp(ix)}_{m} \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (35) and (36) we arrive at

$$\exp\left(i \sum_{m=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}\right) = \exp(ix)^{\otimes k}.$$

Hence, for $k \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\tau^{\otimes k}(\exp(ip_k \mathscr{K} x)) = \tau^{\otimes k}\left(\exp\left(i \sum_{m=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-m)}\right)\right) = \tau^k(\exp(ix)),$$

which completes the proof of (34). Applying subsequently (32), (33) and (34) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K} x)) &= \exp(-\tau(\mathbb{1})) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \tau^{\otimes k}(p_k \exp(i\mathscr{K} x)) \\ &= \exp(-\tau(\mathbb{1})) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \tau^{\otimes k}(\exp(ip_k \mathscr{K} x)) = \exp(-\tau(\mathbb{1})) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^k(\exp(ix))}{k!} \\ &= \exp(\tau(\exp(ix) - \mathbb{1})). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following result is the noncommutative extension of Theorem 19.

Theorem 25. *The operator $\mathscr{K} : \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \to \mathcal{L}_1(M_s(\mathcal{N}), \sigma)$ satisfies the following properties.*

(i) *$\mathscr{K}$ maps positive random variables into positive ones.*
(ii) *$\mathscr{K}$ maps symmetrically distributed random variables into symmetrically distributed ones.*
(iii) *If random variables $x, y \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ are equimeasurable, then so are $\mathscr{K} x, \mathscr{K} y \in \mathcal{L}_1(M_s(\mathcal{N}), \sigma)$.*

(iv) *If random variables $x_k \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, $1 \le k \le n$, are such that $x_j x_k = 0$ for $j \ne k$, then random variables $\mathscr{K} x_k \in \mathcal{L}_1(M_s(\mathcal{N}),\sigma)$, $1 \le k \le n$, are independent (in the sense of definition given in Subsection 2.8).*

Proof. The property (i) is proved in Proposition 21 (iii). The property (ii) is a straightforward consequence of (iii) and (iv). The property (iii) is also straightforward. Indeed, since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m=1}^{k} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes y \otimes 1^{\otimes(k-m)},$$

are equimeasurable, whenever $x, y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ are, the equimeasurability of $\mathscr{K} x$ and $\mathscr{K} y$ follows from (28).

Next, we concentrate on (iv). Throughout the proof we fix $x_k = x_k^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, $1 \le k \le n$, such that $x_j x_k = 0$ for $j \ne k$. First we show that

$$\exp\Big(i\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k x_k\Big) - \mathbb{1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Big(\exp(i\lambda_k x_k) - \mathbb{1}\Big), \quad \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{37}$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is a unit element of the algebra $\mathcal{N}$. Indeed, the condition on $x_k \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, $1 \le k \le n$, implies that there exists a commutative von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{N}_0$ such that $x_k \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}_0,\tau)$, $1 \le k \le n$. By spectral theorem, $x_k \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}_0,\tau)$, $1 \le k \le n$, can be realised as functions (with disjoint support) on some measure space. For these functions equality (37) is verified pointwise.

Therefore, applying τ and exp to both parts in (37), we obtain

$$\exp\Big(\tau\Big(\exp\Big(i\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k x_k\Big) - \mathbb{1}\Big)\Big) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp(\tau(\exp(i\lambda_k x_k) - \mathbb{1})). \tag{38}$$

Applying Lemma 24 to both expressions below, we obtain

$$\exp\Big(\tau\Big(\exp\Big(i\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k x_k\Big) - \mathbb{1}\Big)\Big) = \sigma\Big(\exp\Big(i\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k\Big)\Big)$$

and

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp(\tau(\exp(i\lambda_k x_k) - \mathbb{1})) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(\exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k)).$$

Using (38), we obtain

$$\sigma\Big(\exp\Big(i\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k\Big)\Big) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(\exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k)). \tag{39}$$

By Lemma 21 (ii), the operators $\mathscr{K} x_k$, $1 \le k \le n$, commute. Hence,

$$\exp\Big(i\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k\Big) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k). \tag{40}$$

Combining (39) and (40), we arrive at

$$\sigma\Big(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k)\Big) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(\exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k)). \tag{41}$$

Let f_k, $1 \le k \le n$, be Schwartz functions. Denoting by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform respectively, we have

$$f_k(\mathscr{K} x_k) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F} f_k)(\mathscr{K} x_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F} f_k)(\lambda_k)\ \exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k) d\lambda_k, \tag{42}$$

where the latter integral is understood as L_1-limit of the series

$$2^{-n} \sum_{m=-2^{2n}}^{2^{2n}} (\mathcal{F} f_k)\Big(\frac{m}{2^n}\Big) \exp\Big(i\frac{m}{2^n}\mathscr{K} x_k\Big), \quad \text{when} \quad n \to \infty.$$

The fact that this series converges in $\mathcal{L}_1(H)$ can be found in [30, Theorem 6.2]. Therefore, using (41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma\Big(\prod_{k=1}^n f_k(\mathscr{K} x_k)\Big) &= \sigma\Big(\prod_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F} f_k)(\lambda_k) \exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k) d\lambda_k\Big) \\
&= \sigma\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{k=1}^n \Big((\mathcal{F} f_k)(\lambda_k) \exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k)\Big) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n\Big) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{k=1}^n (\mathcal{F} f_k)(\lambda_k) \cdot \sigma\Big(\prod_{k=1}^n \exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k)\Big) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\
&\overset{(41)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{k=1}^n (\mathcal{F} f_k)(\lambda_k) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma(\exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k))\ d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \qquad (43) \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^n \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F} f_k)(\lambda_k) \cdot \sigma(\exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k))\ d\lambda_k\Big) \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F} f_k)(\lambda_k)\ \exp(i\lambda_k \mathscr{K} x_k)\ d\lambda_k\Big) \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma(f_k(\mathscr{K} x_k)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_k$ be the von Neumann subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}$ generated by the spectral family of the element $\mathscr{K} x_k$, $1 \le k \le n$.. Since Schwartz functions are weak* dense in $L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that the set

$$\{f(\mathscr{K} x_k),\ f \text{ is Schwartz function}\}$$

is weak* dense in $\mathcal{A}_k$. Hence, by (43), we arrive at

$$\sigma\Big(\prod_{k=1}^n u_k\Big) = \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma(u_k), \quad u_k \in \mathcal{A}_k, \quad 1 \le k \le n.$$

By definition given in Subsection 2.8, elements $\mathscr{K} x_k$, $1 \le k \le n$, are independent. □

4. Noncommutative Kruglov operator ($\tau-$infinite case)

The main objective of this section is to adapt definition (28) given in the preceding section for τ-finite von Neumann algebras to the setting when $\mathcal{N}$ is a semifinite von Neumann algebra. This is a much harder task and its accomplishment is based on the approach from [34].

Fix a semifinite (nonfinite) von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ. For a fixed τ-finite projection e from $\mathcal{N}$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we consider the mapping $\alpha_{n,k}: e\mathcal{N}e\to\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}, 0\le k\le n$, defined by setting

$$\alpha_{n,k}(x)=\binom{n}{k}E_n(x^{\otimes k}\otimes(\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)}),\quad x\in e\mathcal{N}e, \tag{44}$$

where E_n is the conditional expectation from $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$ onto $\mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes n}$ defined by (16).

Lemma 26. *For every $e\in\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{N})$ and $k,n\in\mathbb{N}, 0\le k\le n$, the mapping $\alpha_{n,k}: e\mathcal{N}e\to\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$ defined by (44) preserves multiplication.*

Proof. We denote by 2^n the set of all subsets of $\{1,\cdots,n\}$. Since for every $x\in e\mathcal{N}e$ the mapping $E_n(x^{\otimes k}\otimes(\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)})$ contains $k!(n-k)!$ equal summands (see (16)), by (44) we infer that

$$\alpha_{n,k}(x)=\binom{n}{k}E_n(x^{\otimes k}\otimes(\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)})=\sum_{\substack{A\in 2^n\\ |A|=k}}\mathcal{Z}_A^{x,\mathbb{1}-e}, \tag{45}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}_A^{x,y}=z_1\otimes\cdots\otimes z_n\in\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n},\quad z_i=\begin{cases}x, & i\in A\\ y, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\quad 1\le i\le n. \tag{46}$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_{n,k}(x)\alpha_{n,k}(y)=\sum_{\substack{A_1,A_2\in 2^n\\ |A_1|=|A_2|=k}}\mathcal{Z}_{A_1}^{x,\mathbb{1}-e}\mathcal{Z}_{A_2}^{y,\mathbb{1}-e}$$

If $A_1\ne A_2$, then, , we may assume that there exists $l\in A_1$ such that $l\notin A_2$ and, since $x(\mathbb{1}-e)=0$, it follows that the corresponding summand is 0. Hence,

$$\alpha_{n,k}(x)\alpha_{n,k}(y)=\sum_{\substack{A\in 2^n\\ |A|=k}}\mathcal{Z}_A^{x,\mathbb{1}-e}\mathcal{Z}_A^{y,\mathbb{1}-e}=\sum_{\substack{A\in 2^n\\ |A|=k}}\mathcal{Z}_A^{xy,\mathbb{1}-e}=\alpha_{n,k}(xy).$$

□

Now, we construct a $*-$homomorphism from $(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$ into $\mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes n}$, $e\in\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{N})$, $n,k\in\mathbb{N}$. We define the linear mapping $\pi_{n,k}:(e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes k}\to\mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes n}, 0\le k\le n$, by the following rule:

$$\pi_{n,k}^{e,\mathbb{1}}: z\to\binom{n}{k}E_n(z\otimes(\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)}),\quad z\in(e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes k}. \tag{47}$$

In what follows, we frequently suppress the dependence of $\pi_{n,k}^{e,\mathbb{1}}$ on e and $\mathbb{1}$ and simply write $\pi_{n,k}$.

It is clear that for $\alpha_{n,k}$, defined by (44), the equality

$$\alpha_{n,k}(x)=\pi_{n,k}(x^{\otimes k}),\ x\in e\mathcal{N}e \tag{48}$$

holds.

We need the following technical lemma, whose proof consists of tedious algebraic computations and therefore is omitted.

Lemma 27. *Let $n\ge k$. We have*

(i) $\pi_{n,k}(x)=\pi_{n,k}\circ E_k(x)$, $x\in(e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes k}$;

(ii) *For* $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k \in (e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes k}$ *we have*

$$E_k(u) = \frac{1}{k!}\frac{\partial^k}{\partial^k \lambda}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i\Big)^{\otimes k}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

where we use the notation $\partial^k\lambda$ *as a shorthand for* $\partial\lambda_1 \cdots \partial\lambda_k$*;*

(iii) $\pi_{n,k}\left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial^k\lambda}(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i)^{\otimes k}\right) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial^k\lambda}\pi_{n,k}((\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i)^{\otimes k})$.

Lemma 28. *Let* $e \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{N})$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq k \leq n$ *and let* $\pi_{n,k}$ *be defined by* (47)*. Then, the restriction of* $\pi_{n,k}$ *onto the algebra* $(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$ *is a* $*$*-homomorphism from* $(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$ *into* $\mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes n}$*.*

Proof. For the proof of this lemma we firstly show that for all $u, v \in (e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes k}$ the equality

$$\pi_{n,k}(u)\pi_{n,k}(v) = \pi_{n,k}(E_k(u)E_k(v)) \tag{49}$$

holds. Fix $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k$ and $v = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k$. By Lemma 27 (ii) we have

$$E_k(u)E_k(v) = \frac{1}{k!^2}\frac{\partial^{2k}}{\partial^k\lambda\partial^k\mu}\left(\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j v_j\Big)\right)^{\otimes k}. \tag{50}$$

Therefore, again applying Lemma 27, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{n,k}(u)\pi_{n,k}(v) &\overset{(i)}{=} \pi_{n,k}(E_k(u))\pi_{n,k}(E_k(v)) \\
&= \frac{1}{k!}\pi_{n,k}\Big(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial^k\lambda}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i\Big)^{\otimes k}\Big) \cdot \frac{1}{k!}\pi_{n,k}\Big(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial^k\mu}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j\Big)^{\otimes k}\Big) \\
&\overset{(iii)}{=} \frac{1}{k!^2}\frac{\partial^{2k}}{\partial^k\lambda\partial^k\mu}\pi_{n,k}\Big(\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i\Big)^{\otimes k}\Big)\pi_{n,k}\Big(\Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j\Big)^{\otimes k}\Big) \\
&\overset{(48)}{=} \frac{1}{k!^2}\frac{\partial^{2k}}{\partial^k\lambda\partial^k\mu}\alpha_{n,k}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i\Big)\alpha_{n,k}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 26 the mapping $\alpha_{n,k}$ preserves multiplication, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{n,k}(u)\pi_{n,k}(v) &= \frac{1}{k!^2}\frac{\partial^{2k}}{\partial^k\lambda\partial^k\mu}\alpha_{n,k}\Big(\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j\Big)\Big) \\
&\overset{(48)}{=} \frac{1}{k!^2}\frac{\partial^{2k}}{\partial^k\lambda\partial^k\mu}\pi_{n,k}\Big(\Big(\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j\Big)\Big)^{\otimes k}\Big) \\
&= \pi_{n,k}\Big(\frac{1}{k!^2}\frac{\partial^{2k}}{\partial^k\lambda\partial^k\mu}\Big(\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i u_i\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j u_j\Big)\Big)^{\otimes k}\Big) \\
&\overset{(50)}{=} \pi_{n,k}(E_k(u)E_k(v)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since E_k is a conditional expectation from $(e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes k}$ into $(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$, for every $u, v \in (e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$ we have $E_k(u) = u, E_k(v) = v$, and therefore by (49) we obtain

$$\pi_{n,k}(u)\pi_{n,k}(v) = \pi_{n,k}(E_k(u)E_k(v)) = \pi_{n,k}(uv).$$

Since $E_n(x^*) = (E_n(x))^*, x \in \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}$, one can easily obtain that $\pi_{n,k}(u^*) = \pi_{n,k}(u)^*$ for all $u \in (e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$. Thus, $\pi_{n,k}$ is a $*$-homomorphism from $(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$ into $\mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes n}$. □

The following auxiliary lemma is used in Proposition 30 below.

Lemma 29. *Let $1 \le k \le n$. For every $x \in \mathcal{N}$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\binom{n}{k} E_n(x \otimes e^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(n-k)} \in \mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes n}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to consider only the terms on the LHS having x in the first position, since all other terms can be treated similarly. Therefore, by definition of E_n (see (16)), we have to prove the equality

$$x \otimes \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n} E_{n-1}(e^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)}) \Big) = x \otimes \mathbb{1}^n.$$

By (45), we have that

$$\binom{n-1}{k-1} E_{n-1}(e^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)}) = \sum_{\substack{A \in 2^{n-1} \\ |A|=k-1}} e_A,$$

where e_A is defined by (46). Hence,

$$LHS = x \otimes \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{A \in 2^{n-1} \\ |A|=k-1}} e_A \Big) = x \otimes \Big(\sum_{A \in 2^{n-1}} e_A \Big) = x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(n-1)}.$$

The second assertion follows from the proof of Lemma 20. □

Let $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ be a semifinite (nonfinite) von Neumann algebra with faithful normal semifinte trace τ, let $e \le f$ be arbitrary τ-finite projections from $\mathcal{N}$ and let $M_s(e\mathcal{N}e), M_s(f\mathcal{N}f)$ be symmetric quantized algebras with corresponding finite traces σ_e, σ_f given by (19). Denote by $\mathscr{K}_e$ (respectively, $\mathscr{K}_f$) the corresponding Kruglov operators on $e\mathcal{N}e$ (respectively, on $f\mathcal{N}f$) constructed in Section 3 (see (28)).

The following proposition is the key result used in the construction of the Kruglov operator $\mathcal{K}$ on $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N})$. It allows us to pass from Kruglov operator defined on a smaller algebra, to the Kruglov operator on a larger algebra.

Proposition 30. *Let $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra. For all τ-finite projections $e \le f \in \mathcal{N}$, there exists a unital $*-$homomorphism*

$$\pi_{e,f} : S(M_s(e\mathcal{N}e), \sigma_e) \to S(M_s(f\mathcal{N}f), \sigma_f)$$

such that

(i) *for all $z \in M_s(e\mathcal{N}e)$, we have*

$$\sigma_e(z) = \sigma_{\mathbb{1}} \circ \pi_{e,\mathbb{1}}(z), \tag{51}$$

in particular $\pi_{e,f} : \mathcal{L}_1(M_s(e\mathcal{N}e), \sigma_e) \to \mathcal{L}_1(M_s(f\mathcal{N}f), \sigma_f)$;

(ii) *for all $x \in \mathcal{L}_1(e\mathcal{N}e, \tau)$ the equality*

$$\pi_{e,f}(\mathscr{K}_e(x)) = \mathscr{K}_f(x) \tag{52}$$

holds.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f = \mathbb{1}$. Let p_k be the natural projection from $M_s(e\mathcal{N}e) = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0}(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$ into $(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$. Define the mapping $\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}} : M_s(e\mathcal{N}e) \to M_s(\mathcal{N})$ by setting

$$\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k, \tag{53}$$

where $\pi_{n,k}$ is defined by (47).

Let $k \neq l, k, l \leq n$ and $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k \in (e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes k}, v = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l \in (e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes l}$. Each term of the product

$$E_n(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)})E_n(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-l)})$$

contains the product $u_i(\mathbb{1}-e) = 0$ or $(\mathbb{1}-e)v_i = 0$ on some j-th place of tensor product, and therefore,

$$\pi_{n,k}(u)\pi_{n,l}(v) = \binom{n}{k}\binom{n}{l}E_n(u \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)})E_n(v \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-l)}) = 0$$

for all $u \in (e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes k}, v \in (e\mathcal{N}e)^{\otimes l}$. Consequently, since $p_k(z_1) \in (e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}, p_l(z_2) \in (e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes l}, z_1, z_2 \in M_s(e\mathcal{N}e)$ we have

$$(\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k)(z_1)\cdot(\pi_{n,l}\circ p_l)(z_2) = 0, \quad z_1, z_2 \in M_s(e\mathcal{N}e), k \neq l, k, l \leq n. \tag{54}$$

Since, by Lemma 28, $\pi_{n,k} : (e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k} \to \mathcal{N}_s^{\otimes n}$, $n \geq k$, is a $*-$homomorphism, for every $z_1, z_2 \in M_s(e\mathcal{N}e)$, we obtain

$$\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n}(\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k)(z_1)\Big)\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{n}(\pi_{n,l}\circ p_l)(z_2)\Big) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{n}(\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k)(z_1)(\pi_{n,l}\circ p_l)(z_2)$$
$$\overset{(54)}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k)(z_1)(\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k)(z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}(\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k)(z_1z_2),$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}(\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k)(z^*) = (\sum_{k=0}^{n}(\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k)(z))^*, z \in M_s(e\mathcal{N}e).$$

Therefore, the mapping

$$\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k : M_s(e\mathcal{N}e) \to M_s(\mathcal{N})$$

is a $*-$homomorphism as a direct sum of $*$-homomorphisms. In addition, (see also the end of the proof of Lemma (29)),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\pi_{n,k}(\mathbb{1}^{\otimes k}) \overset{(48)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\alpha_{n,k}(\mathbb{1}) \overset{(45)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{\substack{A\in 2^n\\|A|=k}}e_A = \sum_{A\in 2^n}e_A = \mathbb{1}^{\otimes n}.$$

That is $\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}}$ is a unital $*$-homomorphism from $M_s(e\mathcal{N}e)$ into $M_s(f\mathcal{N}f)$.

Let us show now, that the $*$-homomorphism $\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}}$ is trace preserving, i.e.

$$\sigma_e(z) = \sigma_{\mathbb{1}}\circ\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}}(z), \quad z \in M_s(e\mathcal{N}e).$$

Clearly, this assertion needs to be verified on each direct summand of $M_s(e\mathcal{N}e)$.

Let $z = (e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes k}$. Since $p_m(z) = 0$ for all $m \neq k$, by the definition of σ_e, (see (19)) we have

$$\sigma_e(z) = \exp(-\tau(e))\frac{\tau^{\otimes k}(z)}{k!}.$$

Again, by the definition of $\sigma_{\mathbb{1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma \circ \pi_{e,\mathbb{1}})(z) &= \sigma\Big(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k(z)\Big)\\ &= \sigma\Big(\bigoplus_{n\geq k}\pi_{n,k}(z)\Big) = \exp(-\tau(\mathbb{1}))\sum_{n\geq k}\frac{1}{n!}\tau^{\otimes n}(\pi_{n,k}(z))\\ &= \exp(-\tau(\mathbb{1}))\sum_{n\geq k}\frac{1}{n!}\binom{n}{k}\tau^{\otimes k}(z)\tau^{n-k}(e-\mathbb{1}) = \exp(-\tau(e))\frac{\tau^{\otimes k}(z)}{k!},\end{aligned}$$

that is $\sigma_e(z) = (\sigma_{\mathbb{1}} \circ \pi_{e,\mathbb{1}})(z)$.

Thus the $*$-homomorphism $\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}} : M_s(e\mathcal{N}e) \to M_s(\mathcal{N})$ is trace preserving, and therefore (see e.g. [23]) $\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}}$ can be extended to a unital $*$-homomorphism from $S(M_s(e\mathcal{N}e), \sigma_e)$ into $S(M_s(\mathcal{N}), \sigma)$ In particular, $\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}}$ maps $\mathcal{L}_1(M_s(e\mathcal{N}e), \sigma_e)$ into $\mathcal{L}_1(M_s(\mathcal{N}), \sigma)$. We preserve the notation $\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}}$ for this extension.

For the proof of equality (52) fix $x \in \mathcal{L}_1(e\mathcal{N}e, \tau)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{K}_e$ (see (28)), we have $p_0(\mathscr{K}_e x) = 0$ and

$$p_k(\mathscr{K}_e x) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} e^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes e^{\otimes(k-m)}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

It follows now from Lemma 29 that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{e,\mathbb{1}}(\mathscr{K}_e x) &\overset{(53)}{=} \bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\pi_{n,k}\circ p_k(\mathscr{K}_e x)\\ &\overset{(47)}{=} 0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\sum_{m=1}^{k}E_n(e^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes e^{\otimes(k-m)} \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)})\\ &= 0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}k\binom{n}{k}E_n(x \otimes e^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes (\mathbb{1}-e)^{\otimes(n-k)})\\ &\overset{L.29}{=} 0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{1}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes x \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(n-k)} = \mathscr{K}_{\mathbb{1}} x.\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that, the equality (51) can be viewed as a noncommutative analogue of the compatibility of measures in Kolmogorov extension theorem [27].

The following lemma shows that $*$-homomorphisms constructed in Proposition 30 are well-compatible, that allows us to construct the inductive limit of algebras of the form $M_s(e\mathcal{N}e), e \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{N})$ (see the proof of Theorem 32).

Lemma 31. *We have $\pi_{e,g}(z) = (\pi_{f,g} \circ \pi_{e,f})(z)$ whenever $e \leq f \leq g, z \in M_s(e\mathcal{N}e)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in e\mathcal{N}e$ and let $n \geq k \geq m$. We have (cf. (45) and (48)),

$$\pi^{e,f}_{k,m}(x^{\otimes m}) = \sum_{\substack{A_1 \in 2^k \\ |A_1|=m}} \mathcal{Z}^{x,f-e}_{A_1},$$

where $\mathcal{Z}^{x,f-e}_{A_1}$ is defined in (46), and therefore,

$$\pi^{f,g}_{n,k}(\pi^{e,f}_{k,m}(x^{\otimes m})) = \binom{n}{k} E_n\Big(\Big(\sum_{\substack{A_1 \in 2^k \\ |A_1|=m}} \mathcal{Z}^{x,f-e}_{A_1}\Big) \otimes (g-f)^{\otimes(n-k)}\Big) =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{A_1 \in 2^k \\ |A_1|=m}} \sum_{\substack{A_2 \in 2^n \\ A_2 \supset A_1 \\ |A_2|=k}} \mathcal{Z}^{x,f-e,g-f}_{A_1,A_2},$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{x,f-e,g-f}_{A_1,A_2} = z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n \in \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}, \quad z_i = \begin{cases} x, & i \in A_1 \\ f-e, & i \in A_2 \setminus A_1 \\ g-f, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

And hence we obtain,

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} \pi^{f,g}_{n,k}(\pi^{e,f}_{k,m}(x^{\otimes m})) = \sum_{\substack{A_1 \in 2^n \\ |A_1|=m}} \sum_{\substack{A_2 \in 2^n \\ A_2 \supset A_1}} \mathcal{Z}^{x,f-e,g-f}_{A_1,A_2}. \tag{55}$$

Next we shall prove that for a fixed $A_1 \in 2^n$ such that $|A_1| = m$, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}^{x,g-e}_{A_1} = \sum_{\substack{A_2 \in 2^n \\ A_2 \supset A_1}} \mathcal{Z}^{x,f-e,g-f}_{A_1,A_2}. \tag{56}$$

Indeed, observing that the following formula

$$(y+z)^{\otimes(n-m)} = \sum_{A \in 2^{n-m}} \mathcal{Z}^{y,z}_{A}, \quad \text{for all} \quad y,z \in \mathcal{N}. \tag{57}$$

holds, that can be easily established by induction, plugging x on fixed A_1 positions between elements of tensors on the both sides of (57), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{Z}^{x,y+z}_{A_1} = \sum_{\substack{A \in 2^n \\ A \cap A_1 = \varnothing}} \mathcal{Z}^{x,y,z}_{A_1,A_1 \cup A} = \sum_{\substack{A_2 \in 2^n \\ A_2 \supset A_1}} \mathcal{Z}^{x,y,z}_{A_1,A_2}, \quad \text{for all} \quad y,z \in \mathcal{N}. \tag{58}$$

Now taking $y = f-e$, $z = g-f$ in (58), we arrive at (56). Combining (55) and (56), we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} \pi^{f,g}_{n,k}(\pi^{e,f}_{k,m}(x^{\otimes m})) = \sum_{\substack{A_1 \in 2^n \\ |A_1|=m}} Z^{x,g-e}_{A_1}.$$

Consequently, we have that

$$\pi^{e,g}_{n,m}(x^{\otimes m}) = \sum_{k=m}^{n} \pi^{f,g}_{n,k}(\pi^{e,f}_{k,m}(x^{\otimes m})), \; x \in e\mathcal{N}e. \tag{59}$$

By polarization identity every element $z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_m, z_i \in (e\mathcal{N}e), 1 \leq i \leq m$, is a (finite) linear combination of the elements of the form $x^{\otimes m}$, and hence equality (59)

holds for these elements too. Since elements of the form $z_1\otimes\cdots\otimes z_m$, $z_i\in(e\mathcal{N}e), 1\le i\le m$ are dense in $(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes m}$ (in the weak* topology) and by construction the $*-$homomorphism $\pi_{n,k}$ is continuous in the weak* topology (see (47)), we infer the equality (59) for all $z\in(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes m}$.

Since for every $z\in(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes m}$, we have $p_k(z)=0$ for $k\neq m$ and $p_m(z)=z$, it follows from construction of the mapping $\pi_{e,g}$ that

$$\pi_{f,g}(\pi_{e,f}(z))\overset{(53)}{=}\pi_{f,g}(\bigoplus_{k\ge0}\sum_{m=0}^{k}(\pi_{k,m}^{e,f}\circ p_m)(z))=\pi_{f,g}(\bigoplus_{k\ge m}\pi_{k,m}^{e,f}(z))$$
$$=\bigoplus_{n\ge m}\sum_{k=m}^{n}\pi_{n,k}^{f,g}(\pi_{k,m}^{e,f}(z))\overset{(59)}{=}\bigoplus_{n\ge m}\pi_{n,m}^{e,g}(z)=\pi_{e,g}(z).$$

for every $z\in(e\mathcal{N}e)_s^{\otimes m}$. It follows immediately that

$$\pi_{e,g}(z)=\pi_{f,g}(\pi_{e,f}(z)),\quad z\in M_s(e\mathcal{N}e).$$

□

The following theorem is the main result of this section. It presents the construction of Kruglov operator for arbitrary semifinite von Neumann algebra. The idea of the construction used in Theorem 32 is adopted from [34].

Theorem 32. *Let $(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra. There exists a finite von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$ and a positive bounded linear operator $\mathscr{K}:\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)\to\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$ such that*

$$\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}x))=\exp(\tau(\exp(ix)-1)),\quad x=x^*\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau).\tag{60}$$

If $\mathcal{N}$ is hyperfinite, then so is $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let $e_n\in\mathcal{N}$ be a sequence of $\tau-$finite projections such that $e_n\uparrow\mathbb{1}$. For all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ consider the finite von Neumann algebra $(e_n\mathcal{N}e_n,\tau_{e_n})$. By Proposition 30 and Lemma 31 there exist unital $*$-homomorphisms

$$\pi_{e_n,e_m}:M_s(e_n\mathcal{N}e_n)\to M_s(e_m\mathcal{N}e_m),n\le m$$

such that $\pi_{e_n,e_m}=\pi_{e_k,e_m}\circ\pi_{e_n,e_k}$ for all $n\le k\le m$. Hence, by Lemma 14 there exists the inductive limit of unital C^*-algebras

$$M=\lim_n M_s(e_n\mathcal{N}e_n)$$

and canonical $*$-homomorphisms $\pi_n:M_s(e_n\mathcal{N}e_n)\to M$. Furthermore, since every algebra $M_s(e_n\mathcal{N}e_n)$ is equipped with finite trace σ_{e_n} and by Proposition 30 $*$-homomorphisms $\pi_{e_n,e_k},k\le n$, preserve these traces, by Lemma 15 the equality $\sigma=\lim_n\sigma_{e_n}$ defines a tracial state on M. By Lemma 16 the trace σ can be extended on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}:=\overline{\theta(M)}^{wo}$, where θ is cyclic representation of M for σ on a Hilbert space H. Thus $(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$ is a finite von Neumann algebra with faithful normal finite trace σ. In addition, if $\mathcal{N}$ is hyperfinite, then every von Neumann algebra $e_n\mathcal{N}e_n$ is hyperfinite. Consequently, by Lemma 17 the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is also hyperfinite.

Step 1. Here we define a one-parameter unitary group $\exp(it\mathscr{K}x)$ for every $x=x^*\in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$. Fix $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $x=x^*\in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$. Since the $*$-homomorphism π_{e_k,e_n} given by (52) is trace preserving, it follows that it is continuous in the

topology of convergence in measure [23, Proposition 3.3], and therefore, for all $n \geq k$ we have

$$\pi_{e_k,e_n}(\exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)) = \pi_{e_k,e_n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)^j}{j!}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\pi_{e_k,e_n}\left((it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)^j\right)}{j!}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(it)^j\left(\pi_{e_k,e_n}(\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)\right)^j}{j!} \overset{(52)}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(it\mathscr{K}_{e_n}x)^j}{j!} = \exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_n}x).$$

Therefore,

$$(\theta\circ\pi_k)(\exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)) \overset{(21)}{=} (\theta\circ\pi_n\circ\pi_{e_k,e_n})(\exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)) = (\theta\circ\pi_n)(\exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_n}x)) \in \mathcal{M}$$

for all $n \geq k$. Consequently, the formula $t \to (\theta\circ\pi_k)(\exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x))$ defines a one-parameter unitary group in $\mathcal{M}$, which does not depend on k. By Stone's theorem, there exists a self-adjoint operator $\mathscr{K}x$ on H such that

$$\exp(it\mathscr{K}x) = (\theta\circ\pi_k)(\exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)), \quad x = x^* \in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k. \tag{61}$$

In addition, the operator $\mathscr{K}x$ is affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ since $(\theta\circ\pi_k)(\exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)) \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus, for every $x = x^* \in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$ it is correctly defined a self-adjoint operator $\mathscr{K}x \in S(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$, since the trace σ finite on $\mathcal{M}$.

Step 2. We claim that the mapping $x \mapsto \mathscr{K}x, x \in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$, is linear. It is clear that $\mathscr{K}(\lambda x) = \lambda\mathscr{K}(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x = x^* \in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$. Let $x = x^*, y = y^* \in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$. By Trotter formula [57, Theorem VIII.31] (which is applicable to the operators $\mathscr{K}x$ and $\mathscr{K}y$, since the sum $\mathscr{K}x + \mathscr{K}y$ is understood in strong sense), we have

$$\exp(it(\mathscr{K}x + \mathscr{K}y)) = (so) - \lim_{m\to\infty}(e^{i\frac{t}{m}\mathscr{K}x}e^{i\frac{t}{m}\mathscr{K}y})^m,$$

where the notation $(so)-\lim_{m\to\infty}$ stands for the limit in topology of convergence in measure. By [20, Theorem 2, p. 59], $*$-homomorphisms on von Neumann algebras are continuous with respect to the strong operator topology. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp(it(\mathscr{K}x + \mathscr{K}y))\\
&\overset{(61)}{=} (so) - \lim_{m\to\infty}((\theta\circ\pi_k)(\exp(i\frac{t}{m}\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x))(\theta\circ\pi_k)(\exp(i\frac{t}{m}\mathscr{K}_{e_k}y)))^m\\
&= (so) - \lim_{m\to\infty}(\theta\circ\pi_k)\Big(\exp(i\frac{t}{m}\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)\exp(i\frac{t}{m}\mathscr{K}_{e_k}y)\Big)^m\\
&= (\theta\circ\pi_k)\Big((so) - \lim_{m\to\infty}(\exp(i\frac{t}{m}\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)\exp(i\frac{t}{m}\mathscr{K}_{e_k}y))^m\Big)\\
&= (\theta\circ\pi_k)(\exp(it(\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x + \mathscr{K}_{e_k}y)))\\
&= (\theta\circ\pi_k)(\exp(it\mathscr{K}_{e_k}(x+y)))\\
&\overset{(61)}{=} \exp(it\mathscr{K}(x+y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathscr{K}(x+y) = \mathscr{K}x + \mathscr{K}y, \quad x = x^*, y = y^* \in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k. \tag{62}$$

Thus, the mapping $x \mapsto \mathscr{K}x$ is linear on $e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$.

Step 3. Let us show that $\mathscr{K}$ acts boundedly with respect to L_1-norm. Firstly, we show that for $x = x^* \in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$ the operators $\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x$ and $\mathscr{K}x$ are equimeasurable.

For a Schwartz function $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$, we have (see (42))

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta\circ\pi_k)(f(\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)) &= (\theta\circ\pi_k)\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda)\exp(i\lambda\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)d\lambda\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda)(\theta\circ\pi_k)\exp(i\lambda\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x)d\lambda\\ &\overset{(61)}{=}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda)\exp(i\lambda\mathscr{K}x)d\lambda = f(\mathscr{K}x),\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds since $(\theta\circ\pi_k)$ is a trace preserving $*$-homomorphism (see (23)). For an interval $(s,t), s,t\in\mathbb{R}, s<t$, choose a sequence f_n of Schwartz functions, such that $f_n\downarrow\chi_{(s,t)}$. Then we have

$$(\theta\circ\pi_k)(f_n(\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x))\to(\theta\circ\pi_k)(E_{\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x}(s,t))$$

and

$$f_n(\mathscr{K}x)\to E_{\mathscr{K}x}(s,t)$$

in the topology of convergence in measure. Consequently,

$$(\theta\circ\pi_k)(E_{\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x}(s,t)) = (E_{\mathscr{K}x}(s,t)), s<t. \tag{63}$$

In particular, by general properties of spectral projections, we infer that equality (63) holds for $t=\infty$. Hence, by (23)

$$\sigma_{e_k}(E_{\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x}(s,\infty)) = \sigma((\theta\circ\pi_k)(E_{\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x}(s,\infty))) = \sigma(E_{\mathscr{K}x}(s,\infty))$$

for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$. In other words, $d_{\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x}(s) = d_{\mathscr{K}x}(s)$ for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and $x=x^*\in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$. Thus, the operators $\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x$ and $\mathscr{K}x$ are equimeasurable, in particular,

$$\mu(\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x) = \mu(\mathscr{K}(x)),\quad x=x^*\in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k. \tag{64}$$

Furthermore, from equality (63) we also have that $(\theta\circ\pi_k)(E_{\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x}(-\infty,0)) = (E_{\mathscr{K}x}(-\infty,0))$, and therefore, $\mathscr{K}x\geq 0$ for all $e_k\mathcal{N}e_k\ni x\geq 0$. Hence, by Proposition 21 and equality (64) we infer that $\sigma(\mathscr{K}x) = \sigma_{e_k}(\mathscr{K}_{e_k}x) = \tau(x)$. Consequently, $\|\mathscr{K}x\|_1\leq 4\|x\|_1$ for every $x\in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$. Since the union of $e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$, $k\geq 0$, is $\|\cdot\|_1-$dense in $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ [18] it follows that $\mathscr{K}$ admits a bounded extension

$$\mathscr{K}:\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)\to\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M},\sigma).$$

Thus, we have constructed the finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and operator $\mathscr{K}$ acting from $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ into $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$.

Step 4. We now prove equality (60). For this purpose fix $x=x^*\in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$. We have

$$\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}x))\overset{(61)}{=}(\sigma\circ\pi_k)(\exp(i\mathscr{K}_{e_k}(x)))\overset{(22)}{=}\sigma_{e_k}(\exp(i\mathscr{K}_{e_k}(x))).$$

It follows now from Lemma 24 that

$$\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}x)) = \exp(\tau_{e_k}(\exp(ix)-\mathbb{1})),\quad x=x^*\in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k. \tag{65}$$

Using again $\|\cdot\|_1$-density of union of $e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$, $k\geq 0$, in $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, for a fixed $x=x^*\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ choose a sequence $\{x_k\}_{n\geq 1}, x_k\in e_k\mathcal{N}e_k$ such that $\|x_k-x\|_1\to 0, k\to\infty$. It follows from Duhamel's formula (see e.g. [9, Lemma 5.2]) that $\|e_k(\exp(ix_k)-\exp(ix))e_k\|_1\to 0$, as well as $\|\exp(i\mathscr{K}x_k)-\exp(i\mathscr{K}x)\|_1\to 0$, for $k\to\infty$. Therefore,

$$\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}x_k))\to\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}x))$$

and

$$\tau_{e_k}(\exp(ix_k)-\mathbb{1}) = \tau(e_k(\exp(ix_k)-\mathbb{1})e_k)\to\tau((\exp(ix)-\mathbb{1})).$$

Thus, by (65) we infer equality (60). □

The following corollary lists main properties of the Kruglov operator constructed in Theorem 32.

Corollary 33. *Let $(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ be a semifinite atomless von Neumann algebra, let $(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$ and $\mathscr{K}$ be the finite von Neumann algebra and the Kruglov operator constructed in Theorem 32, respectively. The following assertions hold:*

(i) *If self-adjoint operators $x,y\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ are equimeasurable, then $\mathscr{K}x,\mathscr{K}y\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$ are equimeasurable too. In addition, if $x=x^*\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ is equimeasurable with $y\in L_1(0,1)$, then the elements $\mathscr{K}x\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M})$ and $Ky\in L_1(0,1)$ are equimeasurable.*

(ii) *The operator $\mathscr{K}$ acts boundedly from $(\mathcal{L}_1\cap\mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{N})$, equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_1\cap\mathcal{L}_2}=\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_1}+\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ into $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{M})$.*

(iii) *For all $x,y\in(\mathcal{L}_1\cap\mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{N})$ the equality $[\mathscr{K}x,\mathscr{K}y]=\mathscr{K}[x,y]$ holds.*

(iv) *If $x_k=x_k^*\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, $1\leq k\leq n$, are such that $x_jx_k=0$ for $j\neq k$, then the random variables $\mathscr{K}x_k\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$, $1\leq k\leq n$, are independent in the sense of Section 2.8.*

(v) *The operator $\mathscr{K}$ maps symmetrically distributed operators from $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ into symmetrically distributed operators from $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M},\sigma)$.*

Proof. (i). We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\exp(ix)-\mathbb{1})&\overset{(37)}{=}\tau(\exp(ix_+)-\mathbb{1})+\tau(\exp(ix_-)-\mathbb{1})\\&=\int_0^\infty(\exp(i\mu(s,x_+))-1)ds+\int_0^\infty(\exp(i\mu(s,x_-))-1)ds\\&=\int_0^\infty(\exp(i\mu(s,y_+))-1)ds+\int_0^\infty(\exp(i\mu(s,y_-))-1)ds\\&=\tau(\exp(iy_+)-\mathbb{1})+\tau(\exp(iy_-)-\mathbb{1})\overset{(37)}{=}\tau(\exp(iy)-\mathbb{1}),\end{aligned}$$

and therefore by equality (60) we obtain that

$$\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}x))=\exp(\tau(\exp(ix)-\mathbb{1}))=\exp(\tau(\exp(iy)-\mathbb{1}))=\sigma(\exp(i\mathscr{K}y))$$

By [28, Theorem 2.12.1] the preceding equality implies that $d_{\mathscr{K}x}=d_{\mathscr{K}y}$. Since the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is finite we infer that the operators $\mathscr{K}x$ and $\mathscr{K}y$ are equimeasurable. If x is equimeasurable with $y\in L_1[0,1]$, then the assertion is proved similarly using Lemma 22.

(ii). Suppose firstly that $0\leq x\in\mathcal{N}$ with finite support. There exist a finite sequence of projections $\{q_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}\subset\mathcal{N}$ with $\tau(q_k)=1$ such that $x\leq\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\|x\|_\infty q_k$. Since the operator $\mathscr{K}$ is positive we have that $\mathscr{K}x\leq\|x\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\mathscr{K}q_k$. Hence

$$\mu(\mathscr{K}x)\leq\|x\|_\infty\mu(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\mathscr{K}q_k)\leq\|x\|_\infty ND_N\mu(\mathscr{K}q_0).$$

In addition by (i) the equality $\mu(\mathscr{K}q_0)=\mu(K\mathbb{1})\in\bigcap_{n\geq1}L_n(\Omega)$ holds [5, Theorem 4.4], and therefore we obtain $\mathscr{K}x\in\bigcap_{n\geq1}\mathcal{L}_n(\mathcal{M})$. Furthermore, by standard arguments one can prove that $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\sigma(\exp(it\mathscr{K}x))\big|_{t=0}=-\sigma((\mathscr{K}x)^2)$ and $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\exp(\tau(\exp(itx)-\mathbb{1}))\big|_{t=0}=-\tau(x^2)-\tau^2(x)$. Consequently, by (60) we infer that $\sigma((\mathscr{K}x)^2)=\tau(x^2)+\tau^2(x)$, and therefore $\|\mathscr{K}x\|_2\leq\|x\|_1+\|x\|_2$.

Now, for arbitrary $x \geq 0$ from $(\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{N})$ we set $x_n = xE_x[\frac{1}{n}, n]$. We have that $x_n \uparrow x$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} x$ [18], and therefore $\mathscr{K} x_n \uparrow$ and by Theorem 32 $\mathscr{K} x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} \mathscr{K} x$. Since in addition $\|\mathscr{K} x_n\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 + \|x_2\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain that $\|\mathscr{K} x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 + \|x\|_2$ due to Fatou property of $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{M})$ (see e.g. [21]).

(iii). Let $x, y \in (\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{N})$. Set $x_n = e_n x e_n, y_n = e_n y e_n$, where e_n is sequence of τ-finite projections from the proof of Theorem 32. By Proposition 21 (iii) the equality $[\mathscr{K} x_n, \mathscr{K} y_n] = \mathscr{K}[x_n, y_n]$ holds. Since $[x_n, y_n] \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} [x, y]$ [18] we have that $\mathscr{K}[x_n, y_n] \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} \mathscr{K}[x, y]$. On the other hand, by (ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|[\mathscr{K} x_n, \mathscr{K} y_n] - [\mathscr{K} x, \mathscr{K} y]\|_1 &\leq \|[\mathscr{K} x_n - \mathscr{K} x, \mathscr{K} y_n]\|_1 + \|[\mathscr{K} x, \mathscr{K} y_n - \mathscr{K} y]\|_1 \\ &\leq 2\|\mathscr{K} x_n - \mathscr{K} x\|_2 \|\mathscr{K} y_n\|_2 + 2\|\mathscr{K} x\|_2 \|\mathscr{K} y_n - \mathscr{K} y\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|\mathscr{K}\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 \to \mathcal{L}_2} (\|x_n - x\|_{\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2} \|y_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2} + \|x\|_{\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2} \|y_n - y\|_{\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2}).\end{aligned}$$

And therefore, since $\|x_n - x\|_{\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2} \to 0, \|y_n - y\|_{\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2} \to 0$ we infer that

$$[\mathscr{K} x_n, \mathscr{K} y_n] \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} [\mathscr{K} x, \mathscr{K} y]$$

and, therefore, $[\mathscr{K} x, \mathscr{K} y] = \mathscr{K}[x, y]$.

The proof of property (iv) is identical to that of Theorem 25 and is, therefore, omitted. Property (v) is a straightforward consequence of (i) and (iv).

□

Corollary 34. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the hyperfinite II_1 factor, H be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H)$ be the hyperfinite II_∞ factor. Then the operator $\mathscr{K}$ defined in Theorem 32 acts from $\mathscr{K} : \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H), \tau \otimes \mathrm{Tr})$ into $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{R}, \tau)$.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H)$ in Theorem 32. We have $\mathscr{K} : \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \to \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \sigma)$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is hyperfinite. Since every hyperfinite II_1-type von Neumann algebra embeds into $\mathcal{R}$ (see e.g. the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [29]), it follows that $\mathscr{K} : \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \to \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{R}, \tau)$. □

5. Proof of Theorem 4

In this section we prove Theorem 4. Let us first note that the equivalence (ii)⇔(iii) of Theorem 4 follows from the equivalence (i)⇔(v) of Theorem 52.

5.1. Proof of Theorem 4: (i)⇒(ii).

Proof of Theorem 4: (i)⇒(ii). We first prove that if a Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ $(1 \leq p < 2)$, then $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies an upper p-estimate and a lower 2-estimate.

Let T be an isomorphic embedding of $\mathcal{I}$ into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ $(1 \leq p < 2)$. Fix a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|T(A)\|_p \leq C\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}} \quad \text{for every} \quad A \in \mathcal{I}. \tag{66}$$

Fix $n \geq 0$ and operators $A_k \in \mathcal{I}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, such that $l(A_j)l(A_k) = 0$ for $j \neq k$. Observe that $l(A_j)l(A_k) = 0$ implies $A_j^* A_k = 0$ for $j \neq k$. It follows that for almost all $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\Big\| \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_k(t) A_k \Big\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \Big\| \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k \Big\|_{\mathcal{I}}, \tag{67}$$

where r_k, $0 \le k \le n$, are the Rademacher functions. Applying subsequently (67), (66) and identifying the Bochner space $L_p((0,1),\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}))$ with $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}L_\infty(0,1),\tau\otimes dm)$ (see e.g [10, Lemma 6.2]), we obtain

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n A_k\Big\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \Big(\int_0^1 \Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n r_k(t)A_k\Big\|_{\mathcal{I}}^p dt\Big)^{1/p} \le C\Big(\int_0^1 \Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n r_k(t)T(A_k)\Big\|_p^p dt\Big)^{1/p}$$
$$= C\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n r_k T(A_k)\Big\|_{L_p((0,1),\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}))} = C\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n T(A_k)\otimes r_k\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}L_\infty(0,1))}. \quad (68)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n A_k\Big\|_{\mathcal{I}} \ge C^{-1}\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n T(A_k)\otimes r_k\Big\|_p, \quad (69)$$

where for brevity we use $\|\cdot\|_p$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}L_\infty(0,1))}$. By Theorem 13, we have

$$\text{const}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=0}^n \|T(A_k)\|_p^2\Big)^{1/2} \le \Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n T(A_k)\otimes r_k\Big\|_p \le \Big(\sum_{k=0}^n \|T(A_k)\|_p^p\Big)^{1/p}. \quad (70)$$

Combining (68) and (69) with (70) and (66), we conclude

$$\text{const}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=0}^n \|A_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}^2\Big)^{1/2} \le \Big\|\sum_{k=0}^n A_k\Big\|_{\mathcal{I}} \le \text{const}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=0}^n \|A_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}^p\Big)^{1/p}.$$

Now let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{L}_M(H)$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ $(1 \le p < 2)$. Then, as we proved above, $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ satisfies an upper p-estimate and a lower 2-estimate. The implication follows from Theorem 52. □

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 4:** (iii)⇔(iv)**.**

Proof of Theorem 4: (iii)⇒(iv). Let M satisfies (iii). We may assume that M is p-convex and 2-concave. Define an Orlicz function M_1 by setting

$$M_1(t) := M(t^{1/p}), \quad t > 0.$$

According to Remark 12, for every $0 \le x \in l_{M_1}$ and for every $0 \le y \in l_\infty$, we have

$$y \prec\prec x \Longrightarrow \|y\|_{l_{M_1}} \le \|x\|_{l_{M_1}}, \quad (71)$$

for the notion of submajorization ("$\prec\prec$") see Definition 10. Now if $0 \le x \in l_M$, then $x^p \in l_{M_1}$ and $y^p \in l_\infty$. Applying (71) to x^p and y^p, we obtain that the implication

$$y^p \prec\prec x^p \Longrightarrow \|y\|_{l_M} \le \|x\|_{l_M}$$

holds. By [49, Theorem 2], we have that l_M is an interpolation space for the couple (l_p, l_∞).

Since by the assumption M is 2-concave, it similarly follows from [49, Theorem 3] that l_M is an interpolation space for the couple (l_1, l_2). Applying [2, Corollary], we obtain that l_M is an interpolation space for the couple (l_p, l_2). By [1, Theorem 2.7], $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ is an interpolation space for the couple $(\mathcal{L}_p(H), \mathcal{L}_2(H))$. □

Proof of Theorem 4: (iv)⇒(iii). Suppose that the Orlicz space $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ is an interpolation space for the couple $(\mathcal{L}_p(H), \mathcal{L}_2(H))$. Then again by [1, Theorem 2.7],

the commutative core l_M is an interpolation space for the couple (l_p, l_2). For every $n \geq 1$ and $x = \{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0} \in l_\infty$. Using (4), we obtain

$$\|D_n\|_{l_M \to l_M} \leq \text{const} \cdot \max\{n^{1/2}, n^{1/p}\} = \text{const} \cdot n^{1/p},$$
$$\|D_{1/n}\|_{l_M \to l_M} \leq \text{const} \cdot \max\{n^{-1/2}, n^{-1/p}\} = \text{const} \cdot n^{-1/2}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where D_n and $D_{1/n}$ are defined by (3). Therefore, for $m \geq 1$, we have

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{nm-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} \leq \|D_n\|_{l_M \to l_M} \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} \leq \text{const} \cdot n^{1/p} \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M}$$

and

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} \leq \|D_{1/n}\|_{l_M \to l_M} \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{nm-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} \leq \text{const} \cdot n^{-1/2} \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{nm-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M}.$$

Thus, we arrive at (118). Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 52 (iv)⇒(v) (see (118) and subsequent arguments), we obtain that M is equivalent to a p-convex and 2-concave Orlicz function. □

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 4:** (iii)⇒(i)**.** The proof of this implication is much more difficult and is in fact completely different from previous ones. Here we use the concept of Kruglov operators developed in Sections 3 and 4. Before we proceed with the proof of the implication, let us present several technical estimates, which are important for the subsequent exposition.

The following lemma yields a submajorization estimate for the element Kx, $x \in L_1(0,1)$, where K is the Kruglov operator defined by (26). Recall that the notion of submajorization ("$\prec\prec$") is given in Definition 10 and the dilation operator D is defined by (5).

Lemma 35. *For every $x \in L_1(0,1)$, we have*

$$Kx \prec\prec \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nD_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\mu(x).$$

Proof. Recall (see (26)) that

$$Kx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_n} \otimes \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{n} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes\infty} \Big), \tag{72}$$

where A_n, $n \geq 0$, are disjoint sets with $m(A_n) = 1/(e \cdot n!)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

It follows from the inequality (7) that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes\infty} \prec\prec n\mu(x), \quad n \geq 1$$

and, therefore,

$$\chi_{A_n} \otimes \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{n} 1^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes\infty} \Big) \prec\prec nD_{m(A_n)}\mu(x) = nD_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\mu(x), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Again applying the inequality (7), we obtain

$$Kx \prec\prec \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nD_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\mu(x).$$

□

Lemma 36. *If $1 \le p \le 2$ and $x_k \in L_p(0,1)$, $1 \le k \le n$, then*

$$\Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} Kx_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2} \sim \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 35 that

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} Kx_k \prec\prec \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nD_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\mu(x_k)\Big) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\Big(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} D_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\mu(x_k)\Big). \tag{73}$$

Since the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_p+L_2}$ respects submajorization (see e.g. [43, Theorem 4.10]), using (73) and the triangle inequality in $(L_p+L_2)(0,\infty)$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} Kx_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2} &\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\Big\|\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} D_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\mu(x_k)\Big\|_{L_p+L_2}\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\Big\|D_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\Big(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty}\mu(x_k)\Big)\Big\|_{L_p+L_2}\\ &\le \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\big\|D_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\big\|_{L_p+L_2\to L_p+L_2}\Big)\Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2}.\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Taking into account that

$$\big\|D_u\big\|_{L_p+L_2\to L_p+L_2} \le u^{1/p}, \quad 0<u\le 1,$$

we infer that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\big\|D_{\frac{1}{e\cdot n!}}\big\|_{L_p+L_2\to L_p+L_2} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n}{(e\cdot n!)^{1/p}} \le \text{const} < \infty. \tag{75}$$

Combining (74) and (75), we obtain

$$\Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} Kx_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2} \le \text{const}\ \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2}.$$

On the other hand, taking only the first term in (72), we obtain that

$$\mu(Kx_k) \ge \mu(A_1\otimes x_k\otimes \mathbb{1}^{\infty}) = D_{m(A_1)}\mu(x_k) = D_{1/e}\ \mu(x_k), \quad 1\le k\le n. \tag{76}$$

Observing that

$$\big\|D_u x\big\|_{L_p+L_2} \ge u^{1/p}\|x\|_{L_p+L_2}, \quad \text{for all} \quad x\in(L_p+L_2)(0,\infty), \quad 0<u\le 1,$$

and applying (76), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} Kx_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2} &\ge \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} D_{1/e}\ \mu(x_k)\Big\|_{L_p+L_2}\\ &= \Big\|D_{1/e}\Big(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\mu(x_k)\Big)\Big\|_{L_p+L_2} \ge \text{const}\Big\|\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma is the (noncommutative analogue of) special case of the inequality due to Johnson and Schechtman (see [33, Theorem 1]). This "noncommutative Rosenthal inequality"(see [37]) has become popular in compressed sensing (see e.g. [55]).

Lemma 37. *Let $1 \le p \le 2$ and let $A_k \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$, $1 \le k \le n$, be independent symmetrically distributed random variables. Then*

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=1}^n A_k\Big\|_p \sim \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} \quad n \ge 1.$$

Proof. Recall that the independence of random variables $A_k \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$, $1 \le k \le n$, implies that $A_kA_l = A_lA_k$, $1 \le k,l \le n$ (see definition of independence in Subsection 2.8). Hence, there exists a commutative von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathcal{R}$ such that $A_k \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M})$, $1 \le k \le n$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is *-isomorphic to $L_\infty(0,1)$. Hence, the assertion can be viewed as the statement about functions. The latter is proved in [33, Theorem 1]. □

The next lemma describes an isomorphic embedding of the Banach space $(\mathcal{L}_p + \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$ into the Banach space $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$. Recall that the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H)$ is a hyperfinite II_∞ factor. By $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2}$ we denote the standard norm on the space $(\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$. For brevity we set $r = r_1$, where r_1 is a Rademacher function defined in (14).

Lemma 38. *Let $1 \le p \le 2$ and let $A \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$ be finitely supported. Then*

$$\|\mathscr{K}(A\otimes r)\|_p \sim \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2}.$$

Moreover, the mapping $\mathscr{R} : A \to \mathscr{K}(A\otimes r)$ extends to an isomorphic embedding of $(\mathcal{L}_p + \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$ into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof. Observe that for every finitely supported $A \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$, the element $A\otimes r$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$. In particular, $\mathscr{K}(A\otimes r)$ is well defined.

Suppose first that $A = A^*$. Since A is finitely supported, it follows that one can select $A_k = A_k^* \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$, $1 \le k \le n$, such that $A_kA_j = 0$ for $k \ne j$, $A = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k$ and $(\tau\otimes \mathrm{Tr})(\mathrm{supp}(A_k)) \le 1$. Since the random varaibles $A_k \otimes r$, $1 \le k \le n$, are symmetrically distributed, it follows from Corollary 33 (v) and (iv) that the random variables $\mathscr{K}(A_k\otimes r)$, $1 \le k \le n$, are independent and symmetrically distributed. Applying Lemma 37, we infer that

$$\|\mathscr{K}(A\otimes r)\|_p = \Big\|\sum_{k=1}^n \mathscr{K}(A_k\otimes r)\Big\|_p \sim \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=1}^n \mathscr{K}(A_k\otimes r)\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2}. \tag{77}$$

For every $1 \le k \le n$, select a function $x_k \in L_p(0,1)$ which is equimeasurable with $A_k \otimes r$. By Corollary 33 (i), we have that $\mathscr{K}(A_k\otimes r)$ is equimeasurable with Kx_k. Therefore, by Lemma 36, we have

$$\Big\|\bigoplus_{k=1}^n \mathscr{K}(A_k\otimes r)\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} = \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=1}^n Kx_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2} \overset{L.36}{\sim} \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=1}^n x_k\Big\|_{L_p+L_2} = \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2}. \tag{78}$$

Combining (77) and (78), we obtain

$$\|\mathscr{K}(A\otimes r)\|_p \sim \Big\|\bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2}.$$

For a not necessarily self-adjoint finitely supported operator $A \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} &\sim \|\Re A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} + \|\Im A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} \\ &\sim \|\mathscr{K}(\Re A \otimes r)\|_p + \|\mathscr{K}(\Im A \otimes r)\|_p \sim \|\mathscr{K}(A \otimes r)\|_p.\end{aligned}$$

The final assertion follows from the fact that the linear subspace of all elements $A \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$ with finite support is dense in $(\mathcal{L}_p + \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$. □

By $\mathcal{O}_{p,q}$ we denote the class of p-convex and q-concave Orlicz functions M on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $M(1) = 1$. For $1 \le p \le 2$ we define an Orlicz function $M_p \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ by setting

$$M_p(t) := \begin{cases} t^2, & 0 \le t \le 1 \\ 1 + \frac{2}{p}(t^p - 1), & t \ge 1. \end{cases} \tag{79}$$

One can show that $(L_p + L_2)(0,\infty) = L_{M_p}(0,\infty)$ and, therefore,

$$(\mathcal{L}_p + \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H)) = \mathcal{L}_{M_p}(\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H)).$$

In what follows, we use the Orlicz norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} := \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{M_p}}$ in the space $(\mathcal{L}_p + \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{R}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$.

The following lemma shows how *some* Orlicz spaces can be embedded into $(\mathcal{L}_p + \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$.

Lemma 39. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be an operator of finite rank and let $A_0 \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ for $1 \le p \le 2$. Then*

$$\|A_0 \otimes A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_M},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_M$ is an Orlicz ideal associated to the Orlicz function M defined by setting

$$M(t) := \tau(M_p(|tA_0|)), \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Employing standard properties of singular valued function μ, by definition of the function M, we have

$$M(t) = \int_0^1 \mu(s, M_p(|tA_0|))ds = \int_0^1 M_p(t\mu(s, A_0))ds, \quad t > 0. \tag{80}$$

Denote, for brevity, $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_M} = \lambda$. Observe that there exists measure preserving mapping $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times (0,1) \to (0,\infty)$, $\mathcal{G}(k,s) = k + s$ such that $\mathcal{G}$ extends to the measure preserving *-homomorphism from $L_\infty(0,1) \otimes l_\infty$ into $L_\infty(0,\infty)$. Observe also that the function $f_{A,A_0} : k + s \mapsto \mu(s, A_0)\mu(k, A)$ is equimeasurable with $\mu(A_0 \otimes A)$. Hence, using (80) and the facts listed above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(M\Big(\frac{|A|}{\lambda}\Big)\Big) &= \sum_{k\ge 0} \mu\Big(k, M\Big(\frac{|A|}{\lambda}\Big)\Big) \qquad (81) \\ = \sum_{k\ge 0} M\Big(\frac{\mu(k,A)}{\lambda}\Big) &= \sum_{k\ge 0} \int_0^1 M_p\Big(\frac{\mu(s,A_0)\mu(k,A)}{\lambda}\Big)ds \\ = \int_0^\infty M_p\Big(\frac{\mu(u, A_0 \otimes A)}{\lambda}\Big)du &= (\mathrm{Tr} \otimes \tau)\Big(M_p\Big(\frac{A_0 \otimes A}{\lambda}\Big)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Now (81) and well-known property of Orlicz norms (see e.g. [54, I, 1.2, Proposition 11]) implies $\|A_0 \otimes A\|_{\mathcal{L}_{M_p}} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_M}$, that completes the proof of the lemma. □

Recall (see [43]) that the function $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is called quasi-concave if

$$\varphi(s) \le \varphi(t), \quad \frac{\varphi(s)}{s} \ge \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}, \quad 0 \le s \le t.$$

The following lemma is somewhat similar to the one in [5]. However, the proof presented here is simpler.

Lemma 40. *Let $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be a quasi-concave function such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(1) = 1$. For every $d > 1$, there exists $0 \le x \in L_1(0,1)$ with $\|x\|_1 \le 1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{4}\varphi(t) \le \int_0^1 \min\{x(s), tx^d(s)\}ds \le \frac{5}{2}\varphi(t), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\varphi'(0) = \infty$. It follows from the equalities

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\varphi(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \infty$$

that one can select sequences $t_k \downarrow 0$ and $s_k \downarrow 0$ such that

$$\varphi(t_k) = 2^{-k}\varphi(1), \quad \frac{s_k}{\varphi(s_k)} = 2^{-k}\frac{1}{\varphi(1)}, \quad k \ge 0.$$

Define a sequence $u_k \downarrow 0$ by setting $u_0 = t_0 = 1$, $u_1 = s_1$ and

$$u_{2k} = \max\{t_l :\ t_l < u_{2k-1}\}, \quad u_{2k+1} = \max\{s_l :\ s_l \le u_{2k}\}, \quad k \ge 1.$$

Step 1. Observe that

$$\varphi(u_{2k}) \le 2^{l-k}\varphi(u_{2l}), \quad \frac{\varphi(u_{2k+1})}{u_{2k+1}} \le 2^{k-l}\frac{\varphi(u_{2l+1})}{u_{2l+1}}, \quad l \le k. \tag{82}$$

Indeed, by definition of the sequence $\{u_{2k}\}_{k\ge 1}$ we have that

$$u_{2k} = t_m, \quad u_{2k-2} = t_n, \quad \text{for some } \ n < m.$$

The computation

$$\varphi(u_{2k}) = \varphi(t_m) = 2^{-m} = 2^{-m+n}\varphi(t_n) = 2^{-m+n}\varphi(u_{2k-2}) \le 2^{-1}\varphi(u_{2k-2}).$$

implies the first inequality in (82). The second one follows similarly.

Step 2. Fix $d > 1$. Since $\varphi(u_{2k}) \le \varphi(t_k) = 2^{-k}$ for all $k \ge 0$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k\ge 0}\frac{1}{2}u_{2k}^{1/(d-1)}\varphi(u_{2k}) \le \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\ge 0}\varphi(u_{2k}) \le \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\ge 0}2^{-k} = 1. \tag{83}$$

Equality (83) guarantees that

$$\sum_{k\ge 1} m\Big((0, \frac{1}{2}u_{2k}^{1/(d-1)}\varphi(u_{2k}))\Big) \le 1,$$

where m is the Lebesgue measure on $(0,1)$. Therefore, choosing the decreasing order of the summands below, we construct a positive decreasing function (see (27))

$$x := \bigoplus_{k\ge 0} u_{2k}^{-1/(d-1)}\chi_{(0,\frac{1}{2}u_{2k}^{1/(d-1)}\varphi(u_{2k}))}, \tag{84}$$

that belongs to $L_1(0,1)$. Equality (83) implies that $\|x\|_1 \le 1$. For the function $x \in L_1(0,1)$ constructed above, for $t \in [0,1]$, we have

$$2\int_0^1 \min\{x(s), tx^d(s)\}ds = \sum_{k\ge 0} u_{2k}^{1/(d-1)}\varphi(u_{2k}) \cdot \min\{u_{2k}^{-1/(d-1)}, t \cdot u_{2k}^{-d/(d-1)}\} \tag{85}$$

$$= \sum_{u_{2k}\le t} \varphi(u_{2k}) + \sum_{u_{2k}>t} t \cdot \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}}.$$

Thus, to prove the lemma, it is enough to show that the right hand side of (85) satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi(t) \le \sum_{u_{2k}\le t} \varphi(u_{2k}) + t \cdot \sum_{u_{2k}>t} \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}} \le 5\varphi(t), \quad t \in [0,1]. \tag{86}$$

We first show the right hand side of (86). Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $t \in [u_{2l}, u_{2l-2})$.

Step 3. Applying (82), we have

$$\sum_{u_{2k}\le t} \varphi(u_{2k}) = \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \varphi(u_{2k}) \le \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} 2^{l-k}\varphi(u_{2l}) = 2\varphi(u_{2l}) \le 2\varphi(t).$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{u_{2k}>t} \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}} = \frac{\varphi(u_{2l-2})}{u_{2l-2}} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}} \tag{87}$$

$$\le \frac{\varphi(u_{2l-2})}{u_{2l-2}} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} \frac{\varphi(u_{2k+1})}{u_{2k+1}} \le \frac{\varphi(u_{2l-2})}{u_{2l-2}} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-2} 2^{k-l+2}\frac{\varphi(u_{2l-3})}{u_{2l-3}}$$

$$\le \frac{\varphi(u_{2l-2})}{u_{2l-2}} + 2\frac{\varphi(u_{2l-3})}{u_{2l-3}} \le 3\frac{\varphi(u_{2l-2})}{u_{2l-2}} \le \frac{3\varphi(t)}{t}.$$

Thus, we obtain the right hand side of (85).

Step 4. Next we prove the left hand side of (85). We consider two cases $t \in [u_{2l}, u_{2l-1})$ and $t \in [u_{2l-1}, u_{2l-2})$ separately. Let $t \in [u_{2l}, u_{2l-1})$. Since $u_{2l} = t_m$ for some m and $t_{m-1} \ge u_{2l-1}$, it follows from the definition of u_{2k} that

$$\sum_{u_{2k}\le t} \varphi(u_{2k}) + \sum_{u_{2k}>t} t \cdot \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}} \ge \varphi(u_{2l}) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(t_{m-1}) \ge \frac{1}{2}\varphi(u_{2l-1}) \ge \frac{1}{2}\varphi(t).$$

Let $t \in [u_{2l-1}, u_{2l-2})$. Since $u_{2l-1} = s_m$ for some m and $s_{m-1} \ge u_{2l-2}$, by the definition of u_{2k+1}, we have that

$$\sum_{u_{2k}\le t} \varphi(u_{2k}) + \sum_{u_{2k}>t} t \cdot \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}} \ge$$

$$\ge t \cdot \frac{\varphi(u_{2l-2})}{u_{2l-2}} \ge t \cdot \frac{\varphi(s_{m-1})}{s_{m-1}} = \frac{t}{2} \cdot \frac{\varphi(s_m)}{s_m} = \frac{t}{2} \cdot \frac{\varphi(u_{2l-1})}{u_{2l-1}} \ge \frac{t}{2} \cdot \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(t).$$

The two steps above prove the assertion in the case $\varphi'(0) = \infty$.

Step 5. Let $\varphi'(0) < \infty$. Then the sequence $\{s_k\}$ is finite and, therefore, the sequence $\{u_{2k}\}_{k\ge 0}$ is also finite. Let $m+1$ and $n+1$ be the lengths of the sequences $\{s_k\}$ and $\{u_{2k}\}_{k\ge 0}$, respectively. If $t \in [u_{2l}, u_{2l-2})$, $l = 0, \dots, n$ then the assertion

can be shown similarly (see Steps 1 and 2 above). Suppose that $t \in [0, u_{2n})$. The right hand side of (86) can be estimated similarly to (87), as follows

$$\sum_{u_{2k}\le t} \varphi(u_{2k}) + t \cdot \sum_{u_{2k}>t} \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}} = t \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}} \le 3\varphi(t). \tag{88}$$

Now we estimate the left hand side of (86). Since the sequence $\{t_k\}$ is infinite, it follows that the recursive procedure stops at u_{2n}. Indeed, if u_{2n+1} were defined, then by definition of $\{u_{2k}\}$, we would have that u_{2n+2} is defined, which contradicts with the choice of n. Since u_{2n+1} is not defined, it follows that there is no s_l such that $s_l \le u_{2n}$. Therefore, $s_k > u_{2n}$ for all $k = 1, ..., m$ and

$$\varphi'(0) = \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{\varphi(s)}{s} < 2\frac{\varphi(s_m)}{s_m} \le 2\frac{\varphi(u_{2n})}{u_{2n}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$t \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\varphi(u_{2k})}{u_{2k}} \ge t \cdot \frac{\varphi(u_{2n})}{u_{2n}} \ge \frac{t}{2}\, \varphi'(0) \ge \frac{t}{2} \cdot \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \frac{\varphi(t)}{2},$$

which together with (88) completes the proof.

□

Now, we are fully prepared to present the proof the implication.

Proof of Theorem 4: (iii)⇒(i). Let M be equivalent to a p-convex and 2-concave Orlicz function. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. Define the function φ by setting

$$\varphi(t) := \frac{M\big(t^{\frac{1}{2-p}}\big)}{t^{\frac{p}{2-p}}}, \quad \text{so that} \quad M(t) = t^p\varphi(t^{2-p}), \quad t \in (0,1),$$

Since M is p-convex and 2 concave, application of Lemma 11 implies that φ is quasi-concave. It follows from Lemma 11 and p-convexity of M that there exists a limit

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{M(t)}{t^p} = \lim_{t\to 0} \varphi(t^{2-p}).$$

Since $M(t) \not\sim t^p$, it follows that the limit above is 0 and, therefore, $\varphi(0) = 0$. Thus, the function φ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 40.

Set $d = 2/p$, select $x \in L_1(0,1)$ as in Lemma 40 and select a random variable $A_0 \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\mu(A_0) = x^{1/p}$. Recall that $M_p(t) \sim \min\{t^p, t^2\}$ for all $t > 0$, where M_p is the Orlicz function defined by (79). we infer from Lemma 40 that

$$M(t) = t^p\ \varphi(t^{2-p}) \sim t^p\tau(\min\{|A_0|^p, t^{2-p}|A_0|^2\}) \sim$$

$$\sim \tau(\min\{(t|A_0|)^p, (t|A_0|)^2\}) \sim \tau(M_p(t|A_0|)), \quad t \in (0,1).$$

By Lemma 39 and Lemma 38, we obtain

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_M} = \|A \otimes A_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} \sim \|\mathscr{R}(A \otimes A_0)\|_p$$

for every finite rank operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. The set of all finite rank operators is dense in every separable ideal $\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$, in particular in the ideal $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$. Thus, the ideal $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$. □

6. Raynaud-Schütt theorem for $1 \le p < 2$

In this section, we extend the main result from [56, Theorem 1.1] established there for L_1-spaces to the case of L_p-spaces, $1 \le p < 2$. For convenience of the reader, we present a complete version of our argument.

The assertion below extends [45, Lemma 2.9]. In this and subsequent sections, we denote the set of all $q-$concave (respectively, that of p-convex and 2-concave) Orlicz functions M such that $M(1) = 1$ by $\mathcal{O}_{1,q}$ (respectively, by $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$).

Lemma 41. *Let $1 \le q \le 2$ be fixed. For every $n \ge 1$, there exists $M_n \in \mathcal{O}_{1,q}$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{4}\|x\|_{l_{M_n}} \le \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(k,x) + n^{1-1/q}\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu^q(k,x)\Big)^{1/q} \le 4\|x\|_{l_{M_n}} \tag{89}$$

for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$.

Proof. Let $x = \{x(k)\}_{k\ge 0} \in l_\infty$ be finitely supported and let $n \ge 1$ be fixed. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x \ge 0$. By N_n we denote a q-concave Orlicz function defined by

$$N_n(t) := \begin{cases} n^{q-1}t^q, & 0 \le t \le 1/n \\ qt - (q-1)/n, & t \ge 1/n. \end{cases}$$

Denoting

$$S(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(k,x) + n^{1-1/q}\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu^q(k,x)\Big)^{1/q},$$

we shall show that the Orlicz function N_n satisfies

$$\frac{1}{4}\|x\|_{l_{N_n}} \le S(x) \le 2\|x\|_{l_{N_n}}. \tag{90}$$

Step 1: We first show the left hand side of (90). Suppose that $S(x) \le 1$. Setting $y = \{y(k)\}_{k\ge 0}$ and $z = \{z(k)\}_{k\ge 0}$, where

$$y(k) = \begin{cases} x(k), & x(k) > 1/n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad z(k) = \begin{cases} x(k), & x(k) \le 1/n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad k \ge 0,$$

we have $\mu(y), \mu(z) \le \mu(x)$. Since $S(x) \le 1$, it obviously follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(k,x) \le 1. \tag{91}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\mu(n-1,y) \le \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(k,y) \le \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(k,x) \le \frac{1}{n}.$$

According to definition of y, we have that $\mu(n-1,y) = 0$ and, therefore, $\mu(k,y) = 0$ for $k \ge n-1$. Hence, employing again (91), we have

$$\sum_{k\ge 0} N_n(y(k)) = \sum_{y(k)>1/n} \Big(qy(k) - \frac{q-1}{n}\Big) \le \sum_{y(k)>1/n} qy(k) = q\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(k,y) \le q,$$

which implies $\|y\|_{l_{N_n}} \le q$.

On the other hand, by the assumption, we have

$$n^{1-1/q}\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\mu^q(k,z)\Big)^{1/q}\le S(x)\le 1$$

and, therefore,

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\mu^q(k,z)\le n^{1-q}. \tag{92}$$

Since by (92) and by definition of z

$$\sum_{k\ge 0}z(k)^q=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\mu^q(k,z)+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mu^q(k,z)\le n^{1-q}+n\cdot n^{-q}=2n^{1-q},$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{k\ge 0}N_n(z(k))=\sum_{z_k\le 1/n}n^{q-1}z(k)^q\le 2.$$

Hence, $\|z\|_{l_{N_n}}\le 2$.

Combining the estimates above, we infer that

$$\|x\|_{l_{N_n}}\le\|y\|_{l_{N_n}}+\|z\|_{l_{N_n}}\le q+2\le 4,$$

which completes the proof of the left hand side of (90).

Step 2: Now we prove the right hand side of (90). Suppose that $\|x\|_{l_{N_n}}\le 1$. Setting

$$y:=\Big(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mu(k,x),\dots,\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mu(k,x)}_{n\text{ times}},\mu(n,x),\mu(n+1,x),\dots\Big),$$

we have that $y\prec\prec x$ and, therefore (see Remark 12), $\|y\|_{l_{N_n}}\le 1$. Hence,

$$\sum_{y(k)>1/n}y(k)\le\sum_{y(k)>1/n}\Big(qy(k)-\frac{q-1}{n}\Big)\le\sum_{k\ge 0}N_n(y(k))\le 1. \tag{93}$$

Suppose that $y(0)>1/n$. Then $y(k)>1/n$ for $0\le k\le n-1$ and, therefore, $\sum_{y(k)>1/n}y(k)>1$, which contradicts with (93). Thus, we conclude that $y(0)\le 1/n$ and, therefore, $y(k)\le 1/n$ for all $k\ge 0$. Combining the latter and the fact that $\|y\|_{l_{N_n}}\le 1$, we obtain

$$n^{q-1}\sum_{k\ge 0}y(k)^q=\sum_{k\ge 0}N_n(y(k))\le 1.$$

Hence, by definition of y,

$$S(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mu(k,x)+n^{1-1/q}\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\mu^q(k,x)\Big)^{1/q}\le ny(0)+n^{1-1/q}\Big(\sum_{k\ge 0}y(k)^q\Big)^{1/q}\le$$

$$\le n\cdot\frac{1}{n}+n^{1-1/q}\cdot(n^{1-q})^{1/q}\le 2,$$

which completes the proof of the right hand side of (90).

Step 3: Next we set

$$M_n(t):=\frac{N_n(t)}{N_n(1)},\quad t\in[0,\infty).$$

Observe that $M_n \in \mathcal{O}_{1,q}$. Moreover, since $1 \le N_n(1) \le q \le 2$, for all $n \ge 1$, we have that

$$M_n(t) \le N_n(t) \le 2\, M_n(t), \quad t \in [0,\infty), \quad n \ge 1.$$

Using the latter fact, one can infer that

$$\|x\|_{l_{M_n}} \le \|x\|_{l_{N_n}} \le 2\|x\|_{l_{M_n}} \tag{94}$$

Combination of (90) and (94) completes the proof of the lemma. □

The assertion below extends [45, Lemma 2.10]. Recall that $\mathfrak{S}_n$ denotes the group of all permutations of n elements.

Lemma 42. *Let $1 \le p \le 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $M_{n,y} \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{80n}\|y\|_p^p \cdot \|x\|^p_{l_{M_{n,y}}} \le \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\rho\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^2(\rho(k))y^2(k)\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} \le \frac{16}{n}\|y\|_p^p \cdot \|x\|^p_{l_{M_{n,y}}} \tag{95}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let $1 \le p \le 2$, $q = 2/p$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be fixed. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we obviously have

$$S_y(x) := \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\rho\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^2(\rho(k))y^2(k)\Big)^{p/2} = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\rho\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(x^p(\rho(k))y^p(k))^q\Big)^{1/q}.$$

Applying [45, Theorem 1.2] to the right hand side of the equality above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{5n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mu(k, x^p\otimes y^p) + n^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\mu^q(k, x^p\otimes y^p)\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\Big) &\le S_y(x)\\ &\le \frac{1}{n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mu(k, x^p\otimes y^p) + n^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\mu^q(k, x^p\otimes y^p)\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

By Lemma 41 there exists the Orlicz function $M_n \in \mathcal{O}_{1,q}$ that satisfies (89) for any finitely supported vector. Thus, applying (89) for the vector $x^p \otimes y^p$ to the right and left hand sides of (96), we infer

$$\frac{1}{20n}\|x^p\otimes y^p\|_{l_{M_n}} \le S_y(x) \le \frac{4}{n}\|x^p\otimes y^p\|_{l_{M_n}}. \tag{97}$$

Define the function $N_{n,y}$ by setting

$$N_{n,y}(t) := \sum_{k\ge 0} M_n\Big(\frac{ty^p(k)}{\|y^p\|_{l_{M_n}}}\Big), \quad t \in [0,\infty).$$

Observe that

$$N_{n,y}(1) = \sum_{k\ge 0} M_n\Big(\frac{y^p(k)}{\|y^p\|_{l_{M_n}}}\Big) = 1$$

and q-concavity of the function $N_{n,y}$ follows from the q-concavity of M_n. It follows that $N_{n,y} \in \mathcal{O}_{1,q}$. Moreover, by definition of the Orlicz norm, we have

$$\|x^p \otimes y^p\|_{l_{M_n}} = \inf\Big\{\lambda : \sum_{k,l\geq 0} M_n\Big(\frac{x^p(l)y^p(k)}{\lambda}\Big) \leq 1\Big\} \tag{98}$$

$$= \inf\Big\{\lambda : \sum_{l\geq 0} N_{n,y}\Big(\frac{\|y^p\|_{l_{M_n}} x^p(l)}{\lambda}\Big) \leq 1\Big\} = \|y^p\|_{l_{M_n}} \|x^p\|_{l_{N_{n,y}}}.$$

Combining (97) and (98), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{20n}\|x^p\|_{l_{N_{n,y}}}\|y^p\|_{l_{M_n}} \leq S_y(x) \leq \frac{4}{n}\|x^p\|_{l_{N_{n,y}}}\|y^p\|_{l_{M_n}}. \tag{99}$$

Using Lemma 41 for the sequence $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we infer

$$\frac{1}{4}\|y\|_p^p \leq \|y^p\|_{l_{M_n}} \leq 4\|y\|_p^p. \tag{100}$$

Setting $M_{n,y}(t) := N_{n,y}(t^p)$, $t \geq 0$, we obtain that $\|x^p\|_{l_{N_{n,y}}} = \|x\|^p_{l_{M_{n,y}}}$. Application of the latter and (100) in (99) completes the proof of (95). Since $N_{n,y} \in \mathcal{O}_{1,q}$, it follows that $M_{n,y} \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. □

Lemma 43. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. The set $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence is a compact metrizable space.*

Proof. Using that the set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ is countable, we numerate $\mathbb{Q}_+$ as $\mathbb{Q}_+ = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots\}$. We claim that the metric

$$d(M_1, M_2) := \sum_{n\geq 1} 2^{-n} \frac{|(M_1 - M_2)(a_n)|}{1 + |(M_1 - M_2)(a_n)|}, \quad M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2},$$

generates the topology of pointwise convergence on $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. Proof of the completeness is routine and, therefore, omitted.

Let us show compactness. Take an arbitrary sequence $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. Since M_n is 2-concave for any $n \geq 1$, it follows that the sequence $\{M_n(a_1)\}_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded by $\max\{1, a_1^2\}$. Let m_1 be a limit of some subsequence of $\{M_{n,1}(a_1)\}_{n\geq 1}$. Select a subsequence $\{M_{n,1}\}_{n\geq 1} \subset \{M_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ such that $|M_{n,1}(a_1) - m_1| \leq 1/n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Using the same argument, we have that the sequence $\{M_{n,1}(a_2)\}_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded. Let m_2 be a limit of some subsequence of $\{M_{n,1}(a_1)\}_{n\geq 1}$. Select a subsequence $\{M_{n,2}\}_{n\geq 1} \subset \{M_{n,1}\}_{n\geq 1}$ such that $|M_{n,2}(a_2) - m_2| \leq 1/n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Also, we have $|M_{n,2}(a_1) - m_1| \leq 1/n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Proceeding this process ad infinitum, for every $l \geq 1$, we obtain a sequence $\{M_{n,l}\}_{n\geq 1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ such that $|M_{n,l}(a_k) - m_k| \leq 1/n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and for all $k \leq l$. Taking a diagonal subsequence $\{M_{n,n}\}_{n\geq 1} \subset \{M_{n,l}\}_{n\geq 1, l\geq 1}$, we have $|M_{n,n}(a_k) - m_k| \leq 1/n$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence, the subsequence $\{M_{n,n}\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges at every rational point.

Next we prove that $\{M_{n,n}\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges at every $t > 0$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and select rational number $a \in (t, t(1+\varepsilon))$. It follows from convexity and 2-concavity of $M_{n,n}$ that

$$M_{n,n}(t) \leq M_{n,n}(a) \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 M_{n,n}(t), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Passing to the limit, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} M_{n,n}(t) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{n,n}(a) \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \liminf_{n\to\infty} M_{n,n}(t).$$

Since ε is arbitrary and the sequence $\{M_{n,n}(a)\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges, it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} M_{n,n}(t) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} M_{n,n}(t),$$

which guarantees that the sequence $M_{n,n}(t)$ converges. Thus, compactness is proved. □

The following assertion is proved in [56, Theorem 1.1] for $p = 1$. We provide a proof (the same as in [56, Theorem 1.1]) for convenience of the reader. Due to previous lemma, we equip $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ with Borel σ-algebra.

Theorem 44. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. If a symmetric sequence space I isomorphically embeds into $L_p(0,1)$, then there exists a Radon probability measure ν on the space $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ such that*

$$\|x\|_I \sim \Big(\int_{M\in\mathcal{O}_{p,2}} \|x\|_{l_M}^p d\nu(M)\Big)^{1/p} \tag{101}$$

for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$.

Proof. Let $x = \{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0} \in l_\infty$ be finitely supported, let $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ be the standard unit basis of c_0 and let T be the isomorphic embedding of I into $L_p(0,1)$. Fix a constant C such that

$$C^{-1}\|x\|_I \leq \|Tx\|_p \leq C\|x\|_I, \quad \text{for any} \quad x \in I.$$

Taking any $n \geq |\mathrm{supp}(x)|$, we may assume that $x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x(k)e_k$. Denoting $f_k := Te_k \in L_p(0,1)$, $k \geq 0$, for every $t \in (0,1)$ and for every permutation $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have

$$\|x\|_I = \Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x(\rho(k))r_k(t)e_k\Big\|_I \leq C\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x(\rho(k))r_k(t)f_k\Big\|_p$$

and, similarly,

$$\|x\|_I \geq C^{-1}\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x(\rho(k))r_k(t)f_k\Big\|_p,$$

where by r_k, $k \geq 0$, we denote the Rademacher functions defined in (14). Hence,

$$\|x\|_I \leq C\Big(\int_0^1 \Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x(\rho(k))r_k(t)f_k\Big\|_p^p dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} = C\Big\|\Big(\int_0^1 \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x(\rho(k))r_k(t)f_k\Big|^p dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big\|_p$$

and, similarly

$$\|x\|_I \geq C^{-1}\Big\|\Big(\int_0^1 \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x(\rho(k))r_k(t)f_k\Big|^p dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big\|_p$$

for every permutation $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

By Khinchine inequality (see [46, Theorem 2.b.3] and its proof), we have

$$\frac{1}{36C}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^2(\rho(k))f_k^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\|_p \leq \|x\|_I \leq C\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^2(\rho(k))f_k^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\|_p$$

for every permutation $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Summing by all permutations from $\mathfrak{S}_n$ the inequality above, we obtain

$$\|x\|_I \le C\Big(\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\rho\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}x^2(\rho(k))f_k^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\|_p^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} = C\Big\|\Big(\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\rho\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}x^2(\rho(k))f_k^2\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big\|_p \tag{102}$$

and, similarly,

$$\|x\|_I \ge \frac{1}{36C}\Big\|\Big(\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\rho\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}x^2(\rho(k))f_k^2\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big\|_p. \tag{103}$$

For a fixed $s\in(0,1)$, by Lemma 42 applied for $y_s=\{f_k(s)\}_{k=0}^{n-1}\in\mathbb{R}^n$, there exists the Orlicz function $M_{n,y_s}\in\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{80n}\|y_s\|_p^p\cdot\|x\|_{l_{M_{n,y_s}}}^p \le \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\rho\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}x^2(\rho(k))f_k^2(s)\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} \le \frac{16}{n}\|y_s\|_p^p\cdot\|x\|_{l_{M_{n,y_s}}}^p. \tag{104}$$

Combining the computation

$$\Big\|\Big(\frac{1}{n}\|y_s\|_p^p\cdot\|x\|_{l_{M_{n,y_s}}}^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big\|_p = \Big(\int_0^1\frac{1}{n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|f_k(s)|^p\Big)\|x\|_{l_{M_{n,y_s}}}^p\,ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with (102),(103) and (104), we arrive at

$$\|x\|_I \sim \Big(\int_0^1\frac{1}{n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|f_k(s)|^p\Big)\|x\|_{l_{M_{n,y_s}}}^p\,ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \Big(\int_0^1\|x\|_{M_{n,y_s}}^p\,d\mu_n(s)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{105}$$

where

$$\mu_n(A) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\int_A|f_k(s)|^p\,ds, \quad A\subseteq(0,1).$$

Define a positive Radon measure ν_n on $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ equipped with Borel σ-algebra by setting

$$\nu_n(G) := \mu_n(\{s\in(0,1):\ M_{n,y_s}\in G\}), \quad G\subseteq\mathcal{O}_{p,2}.$$

Via (105), we conclude

$$\|x\|_I \sim \Big(\int_{M\in\mathcal{O}_{p,2}}\|x\|_{l_M}^p\,d\nu_n(M)\Big)^{1/p}$$

for every finitely supported $x\in l_\infty$ and for every $n\ge|\mathrm{supp}(x)|$.

For every finitely supported $x\in l_\infty$, the function $M\to\|x\|_{l_M}$ is continuous on $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. Therefore, every Radon measure ν_n, $n\ge|\mathrm{supp}(x)|$, generates a bounded positive functional $\varphi_n\in C(\mathcal{O}_{p,2})^*$, where $C(\mathcal{O}_{p,2})^*$ denotes the dual space of the space $C(\mathcal{O}_{p,2})$ of all continuous functions on $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. By Banach-Alaoglu theorem, there exists a subnet $\varphi_{\psi(i)}$, $i\in\mathbb{I}$, and $\varphi\in C(\mathcal{O}_{p,2})^*$ such that $\varphi_{\psi(i)}\to\varphi$. By Riesz-Markov theorem, the (positive) functional φ is generated by a Radon measure ν on $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. Hence, we have

$$\|x\|_I \sim \Big(\int_{M\in\mathcal{O}_{p,2}}\|x\|_{l_M}^p\,d\nu(M)\Big)^{1/p}$$

for every finitely supported $x\in l_\infty$. □

7. Proof of Theorem 3

In this section, we prove our main result. Recall, that by Theorem 44 the space $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ is equipped with a Radon probability measure ν, defined on the Borel σ-algebra.

Lemma 45. *There exists a Bochner measurable function $\xi : \mathcal{O}_{p,2} \to \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}), 1 \leq p < 2$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{12}\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_M} \leq \|\xi(M) \otimes A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p + \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))} \leq 5\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_M} \tag{106}$$

for every finite rank operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and for every $M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t^p} M(t) = 0. \tag{107}$$

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. Define an Orlicz function N_M by setting

$$N_M(t) = \begin{cases} M(t), & 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 1 + \frac{1}{p}M'(1-0)(t^p - 1), & t \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{108}$$

It is straightforward to verify that $N_M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. Furthermore, if in addition M satisfies (107), we define a quasi-concave function $\varphi_M : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by setting

$$\varphi_M(t) = \frac{N_M(t^{\frac{1}{2-p}})}{t^{\frac{p}{2-p}}}. \tag{109}$$

By Lemma 40 with $d = 2/p$ there exists a positive decreasing function $x_M \in L_1(0,1)$ given by formula (84).

We claim that the mapping $\eta : \mathcal{O}_{p,2} \to L_1(0,1)$ defined by setting $\eta : M \mapsto x_M$ is Bochner measurable (if M fails the condition (107), then we set $x_M = 0$).

Let $t_k(M), s_k(M)$ and $u_k(M), k \geq 0$, be the numbers constructed for the function φ_M in the proof of Lemma 40. First note that $t_k(M)$ and $s_k(M)$, $k \geq 0$, considered as functions of M, are measurable on $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. Indeed, since the function φ_M is quasi-concave, for every $s \in (0,1)$, by definition of numbers t_k we have that

$$\{M :\ t_k(M) \geq s\} = \{M :\ \varphi_M(s) \leq 2^{-k}\} = \{M :\ M(s^{\frac{1}{2-p}}) \leq s^{\frac{p}{2-p}} 2^{-k}\}$$

is a closed set in $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ (equipped with topology of poitwise convergence) and therefore, the functions $t_k(\cdot)$ are measurable, and similarly $s_k(\cdot)$ are measurable for all $k \geq 0$. By definition of the numbers $u_k(M)$, $k \geq 0$, we have that the functions $u_k(\cdot)$ are also measurable functions of M. Furthermore, since $\varphi_M(u_k(M)), k \geq 0$ takes only the values 2^{-n}, $n \geq 0$, and

$$\{M :\ \varphi_M(u_{2k}(M)) = 2^{-n}\} = \{M :\ u_{2k}(M) = t_n(M)\}$$

is a measurable set, we have that the function $M \mapsto \varphi_M(u_{2k}(M))$, $k \geq 0$ is also measurable. Set

$$v_k(M) = \sum_{l \geq k} \frac{1}{2} u_{2l}^{1/(d-1)}(M) \varphi_M(u_{2l}(M)),$$

where the convergence of the series follows from (82). We have that $v_k(\cdot)$ also measurable functions of M.

Fix a positive element $y \in L_\infty(0,1)$ and denote its primitive (which is monotone) by z. It follows from (84) that

$$\langle x_M, y\rangle = \int_0^1 x_M(s)y(s)ds = \sum_{k\geq 0} u_{2k}^{-1/(d-1)}(M)\Big(z(v_k(M)) - z(v_{k+1}(M))\Big),$$

where the series converges since

$$\Big|u_{2k}^{-1/(d-1)}(M)\Big(z(v_k(M)) - z(v_{k+1}(M))\Big)\Big| \leq$$

$$\leq u_{2k}^{-1/(d-1)}(M)\|y\|_\infty |v_k(M) - v_{k+1}(M)| = \|y\|_\infty \varphi_M(u_{2k}(M))$$

and $\varphi_M(u_{2k}(M))$ is a geometric sequence. Since z is monotone, it follows that $z\circ v_k$ is a measurable function of M for every $k \geq 0$. Hence, the mapping $M \to \langle x_M, y\rangle$ is also measurable. This proves that the function $\eta : M \to x_M \in L_1(0,1)$, is weakly measurable. Since the space $L_1(0,1)$ is separable, by Pettis theorem (see e.g. [58, Proposition 2.15]), we have that η is Bochner measurable.

Let $i : L_1(0,1) \to \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{R})$ be an isometric embedding. It is clear, that the function $\xi : \mathcal{O}_{p,2} \to \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ defined by the setting $\xi(M) = (i(\eta(M)))^{1/p}$ is Bochner measurable.

Now, let us show that the function ξ satisfies inequality (106). Fix a function $M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ satisfying condition (107). By definition of the function N_M (see (108)) we have that $M(1) = N_M(1) = 1$, and therefore for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$ we obtain

$$\{\lambda : \sum_{k\geq 0} M(\frac{x(k)}{\lambda}) \leq 1\} = \{\lambda \geq \|x\|_\infty : \sum_{k\geq 0} M(\frac{x(k)}{\lambda}) \leq 1\}$$

$$= \{\lambda \geq \|x\|_\infty : \sum_{k\geq 0} N_M(\frac{x(k)}{\lambda}) \leq 1\} = \{\lambda : \sum_{k\geq 0} N_M(\frac{x(k)}{\lambda}) \leq 1\}.$$

Consequently

$$\|x\|_{l_{N_M}} = \|x\|_{l_M} \tag{110}$$

for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$. By Lemma 40 we have that

$$\frac{1}{4}\varphi_M(t) \leq \int_0^1 \min\{x_M(s), tx_M^d(s)\}ds \leq \frac{5}{2}\varphi_M(t), \quad t \in [0,1], \tag{111}$$

in particular for $t = 1$ we obtain $\frac{1}{4} \leq \|x_M\|_1$. The 2-concavity of the function M implies that $M(2) \leq 4M(1)$ (see e.g. [43]) Hence, for the function φ_M given by (109) we have

$$\varphi_M(\infty) = \frac{1}{p}M'(1-0) \leq M(2) - M(1) \leq 3M(1) = 3.$$

Therefore, for $t \geq 1$ we infer that

$$\int_0^1 \min\{x_M(s), tx_M^d(s)\}ds = \int_0^1 x_M(s)ds \geq \frac{1}{4} \geq \frac{1}{12}\varphi_M(\infty) \geq \frac{1}{12}\varphi_M(t).$$

On the other hand, definition of the function x_M (see (84)) implies that $x_M \geq \mathrm{supp} x_M$. In addition by Lemma 40 $\|x_M\|_1 \leq 1$. Consequently, we have

$$\int_0^1 \min\{x_M(s), tx_M^d(s)\}ds = \int_0^1 x_M(s)ds \leq 1 = \varphi_M(1) \leq \frac{5}{2}\varphi_M(t), t \geq 1.$$

Thus, combining the last two inequalities with (111) we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{12}\varphi_M(t) \le \int_0^1 \min\{x_M(s), tx_M^d(s)\}ds \le \frac{5}{2}\varphi_M(t) \tag{112}$$

for all $t \ge 0$.

Next, for the function $M_p(t)$ defined by (79) we have

$$\min\{t^2, t^p\} \le M_p(t) \le \frac{2}{p}\min\{t^2, t^p\}, t \ge 0.$$

Consequently, from the one hand for all $t \ge 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 M_p(tx_M^{1/p}(s))ds &\le \frac{2}{p}\int_0^1 \min\{t^2 x_M^{2/p}(s), t^p x_M(s)\}ds \\ &\le 2t^p \int_0^1 \min\{t^{2-p}x_M^d(s), x_M(s)\}ds \overset{(112)}{\le} 5t^p\varphi_M(t^{2-p}) \\ &= 5N_M(t) \le N_M(5t).\end{aligned}$$

And similarly, from another hand

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 M_p(tx_M^{1/p}(s))ds &\ge \int_0^1 \min\{t^{2-p}x_M^d(s), x_M(s)\}ds \overset{(112)}{\ge} \frac{1}{12}t^p\varphi_M(t^{2-p}) \\ &= \frac{1}{12}N_M(t) \ge N_M(\frac{1}{12}t),\end{aligned}$$

That is for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$

$$\frac{1}{12}\|x\|_{L_{N_M}} \le \|x\|_{L_{M'}} \le 5\|x\|_{L_{N_M}},$$

where the Orlicz function M' is defined by the equality $M'(t) = \int_0^1 M_p(tx_M^{1/p}(s))ds$. By Lemma 39 we have that $\|x_M^{1/p} \otimes x\|_{L_p+L_2} = \|x\|_{L_{M'}}$. Consequently, due to (110) we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{12}\|x\|_{l_M} \le \|x_M^{1/p} \otimes x\|_{L_p+L_2} \le 5\|x\|_{l_M}$$

for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$. The last inequality immediately implies (106) for $\xi(M)$ defined by $\xi(M) = (i(\eta(M)))^{1/p} = (i(x_M))^{1/p}$. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let us use means provided by Theorem 44 to represent the norm in I. That is, we write

$$\|x\|_I \sim (\int_{M\in\mathcal{O}_{p,2}} \|x\|_{l_M}^p d\nu(M))^{1/p} \tag{113}$$

for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$.

Let us show firstly that condition (107) holds in fact for almost every $M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. For every $M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$, it follows from $p-$convexity of M that $M(t) \le t^p$ for $t \in [0,1]$. Similarly, $2-$concavity of M implies that $M(t) \le t^2$ for $t \ge 1$. Denote the Orlicz function $t \to \max\{t^2, t^p\}$ by F. We have $M \le F$ and, therefore, $\|x\|_{l_M} \le \|x\|_{l_F}$ for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$. However, for Orlicz spaces l_F and l_p we have $l_F = l_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_F \sim \|\cdot\|_p$. Hence, we have

$$\|x\|_I \overset{(113)}{\le} \text{const}\|x\|_p$$

for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$.

Define measurable functions $\alpha_t : \mathcal{O}_{p,2} \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\alpha_t(M) := \frac{1}{t^p} M(t), t \in (0,1).$$

Since $M(t) \leq t^p$, the limit $\alpha(M) := \lim_{t\to 0} \alpha_t(M)$ exists. Observe that $\alpha(M)$ is a measurable function as a pointwise limit of measurable functions. Again using $p-$convexity of $M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ we infer that $M(t) \geq \alpha(M)t^p$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, we have $\|x\|_{l_M} \geq \alpha(M)^{1/p}\|x\|_p$. If $\nu(\{M : \alpha(M) > 0\}) > 0$, then again using (113) we have $\|x\|_I \geq \text{const}\|x\|_p$ for every finitely supported $x \in l_\infty$. Since we already established that $\|x\|_I \leq \text{const}\|x\|_p$, it follows that $l_p = I$, that contradicts to the assumption $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{L}_p(H)$. Hence, $\nu(\{M : \alpha(M) > 0\}) = 0$, and therefore equality (107) holds for almost every M.

Let $\xi : \mathcal{O}_{p,2} \to \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$, be the Bochner measurable function constructed in Lemma 45. By the preceding argument inequality (106) holds for almost every M in $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$. Therefore, combining (113) and (106) we obtain that

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}} \sim \left(\int_{M\in\mathcal{O}_{p,2}} \|\xi(M) \otimes A\|^p_{\mathcal{L}_p+\mathcal{L}_2} d\nu(M)\right)^{1/p}$$

for every finite rank operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Furthermore, Lemma 38 implies that

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}} \sim \left(\int_{M\in\mathcal{O}_{p,2}} \|\mathscr{K}(\xi(M) \otimes A \otimes r)\|^p_p d\nu(M)\right)^{1/p} \tag{114}$$

for every finite rank operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

Since every finite rank operator is contained in $\mathcal{L}_p(H)$ we have that $B \otimes A \in (\mathcal{L}_p + \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(H))$ for every $B \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$. Hence by Lemma 38, for a fixed finite rank operator A, the mapping $B \to \mathscr{K}(B \otimes A \otimes r)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$. Since $\xi : \mathcal{O}_{p,2} \to \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$ is Bochner measurable, we infer that the function $M \to \mathscr{K}(\xi(M) \otimes A \otimes r)$ from $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$, is also Bochner measurable. Define an operator $\mathscr{J}$ from the ideal of finite rank operators into the set of Bochner measurable $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})-$valued functions on $\mathcal{O}_{p,2}$ by setting

$$(\mathscr{J}(A))(M) = \mathscr{K}(\xi(M) \otimes A \otimes r), \quad M \in \mathcal{O}_{p,2}.$$

It is proved in [31] (see Theorem 2 there and the preceding paragraph) that every metrizable compact equipped with atomless Radon probability measure is isomorphic to a unit interval equipped with Lebesgue measure. Hence, every metrizable compact equipped with (not necessarily atomless) Radon probability measure is a standard probability space in the sense of Rokhlin. That is, (up to an isomorphism) it is an interval equipped with Lebesgue measure plus at most countably many atoms. Therefore, by Theorem 44 $(\mathcal{O}_{p,2}, \nu)$ is (isomorphic to) an interval equipped with Lebesgue measure plus at most countably many atoms.

It follows from [10, Lemma 6.2] that Bochner space $L_p(\mathcal{O}_{p,2}, \nu, \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}))$ is naturally isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\overline{\otimes}L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_{p,2}, \nu), \tau \otimes d\nu)$ and

$$\left(\int_{M\in\mathcal{O}_{p,2}} \|\mathscr{K}(\xi(M) \otimes A \otimes r)\|^p_p d\nu(M)\right)^{1/p} = \|\mathscr{J}(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\otimes L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_{p,2},\nu))}. \tag{115}$$

Combining (114) and (115), we infer that $\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}} \sim \|\mathscr{J}(A)\|_p$ for every finite rank operator A. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is separable, the set of all finite rank operators is dense in $\mathcal{I}$, and therefore, $\mathcal{I}$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R} \otimes L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_{p,2}, \nu))$.

Since there exists a trace preserving $*-$homomorphism from $L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_{p,2},\nu)$ into $L_\infty(0,1)$ and a trace preserving $*-$homomorphism from $L_\infty(0,1)$ into $\mathcal{R}$, we have that there exists a trace preserving $*-$homomorphism from $\mathcal{R}\otimes L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_{p,2},\nu)$ into $\mathcal{R}\otimes\mathcal{R}$. The latter von Neumann algebra is $*-$isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}$. Thus, $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\otimes L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_{p,2},\nu))$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$. Consequently, since $\mathcal{I}$ is isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R}\otimes L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_{p,2},\nu))$ we infer that $\mathcal{I}$ isomorphically embeds into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{R})$. □

8. Proof of Theorem 6

Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{N}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal tracial state τ.

8.1. **Definitions of auxiliary algebras and homomorphisms.** Here we define finite von Neumann algebras $(\mathcal{N}_m,\tau_m)$ by means of the GNS construction of the algebra $l_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+^m)\otimes\mathcal{N}$ with respect to a certain tracial state τ_m. After that, we discuss certain properties of trace preserving $*-$isomorphisms ϱ_k, $0\le k<m$.

Fix a free ultrafilter ω on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and denote by $\mathcal{N}_m$ the von Neumann algebra obtained via the GNS construction from the algebra $l_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+^m)\otimes\mathcal{N}$ (identified with the algebra of all bounded $\mathcal{N}-$valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}_+^m$) and the tracial state [3]

$$\tau_m : x\to \lim_{n_0\to\omega}\cdots\lim_{n_{m-1}\to\omega}\tau(x(n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1})),\quad x\in l_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+^m)\otimes\mathcal{N}.$$

That is, $\mathcal{N}_m$ is the quotient of the algebra $l_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+^m)\otimes\mathcal{N}$ by the ideal

$$\Big\{x\in l_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_+^m)\otimes\mathcal{N}:\ \lim_{n_0\to\omega}\cdots\lim_{n_{m-1}\to\omega}\tau(|x^2|(n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1}))=0\Big\}.$$

The state τ_m generates a faithful normal tracial state on $\mathcal{N}_m$ (also denoted by τ_m).

Let $\varrho_k:\mathcal{N}_1\to\mathcal{N}_m$, $0\le k<m$, be the unital $*-$homomorphism defined by the evaluation at the $k-$th coordinate. That is,

$$(\varrho_k(x))(n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1})=x(n_k),\quad (n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1})\in\mathbb{Z}_+^m.$$

We have

$$\tau_m(\varrho_k(x))=\lim_{n_0\to\omega}\cdots\lim_{n_{m-1}\to\omega}\tau(x(n_k))=\lim_{n_k\to\omega}\tau(x(n_k))=\lim_{n_0\to\omega}\tau(x(n_0))=\tau_1(x).$$

That is, ϱ_k is trace preserving for every $0\le k<m$.

In what follows, $M_m(\mathbb{C})$, $m\ge1$ is equipped with the normalised trace $\frac{1}{m}\mathrm{Tr}$. We identify the tensor product algebra $(M_m(\mathbb{C}),\frac{1}{m}\mathrm{Tr})^{\otimes m}$ with the algebra $M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})$ equipped with a normalised trace. Let $\theta_k:M_m(\mathbb{C})\to M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})$, $0\le k<m$, be a unital $*-$homomorphism defined by the setting

$$\theta_k(A)=1^{\otimes k}\otimes x\otimes 1^{\otimes(m-1-k)},\quad x\in M_m(\mathbb{C}).$$

In what follows, $\Omega_m=\{0,1,\cdots,m-1\}$ (respectively, $\Omega_{m^m}=\{0,\cdots,m^m-1\}$) equipped with the normalised measure and the algebra $L_\infty(\Omega_m)$ (respectively, $L_\infty(\Omega_{m^m})$) is identified with the diagonal subalgebra of $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ (respectively, of $M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})$). Each θ_k, $0\le k<m$, maps a diagonal subalgebra $L_\infty(\Omega_m)$ of $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ into the diagonal subalgebra $L_\infty(\Omega_{m^m})$ of $M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})$.

Finally, let us define the probability space

$$(\mathcal{M}_m,\sigma_m):=(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C}),\frac{1}{m^m}\mathrm{Tr})\otimes(\mathcal{N}_m,\tau_m).$$

[3]Here, $\lim_{n\to\omega}a(n)$ denotes the limit of a sequence $a=\{a(n)\}_{n\ge0}$ along the ultrafilter ω.

8.2. **Equivalent representation for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.** In this subsection, we assume that the commutative core I of a Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$ admits an isomorphic embedding $T : I \to \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, $1 \le p < 2$. Our main objective in this subsection is Lemma 48 providing an equivalent representation of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Lemma 46. *Let $I \neq l_p$ be a symmetric sequence space and let $T : I \to \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)$, $1 \le p < 2$, be an isomorphic embedding. If $\{e_n\}_{n\ge0}$ is a standard basis of I, then the sequence $\{|T(e_n)|^p\}_{n\ge0}$ is uniformly integrable.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. By [29, Corollary 2.11], there exists a strictly increasing sequence n_k, $k \ge 0$, such that the sequence $\{T(e_{n_k})\}_{k\ge0}$ is equivalent to the standard basis of l_p. Thus, the sequence $\{e_{n_k}\}_{k\ge0}$ is equivalent to the standard basis of l_p. Since $\{e_n\}_{n\ge0}$ is a symmetric basis of I, it follows that $\{e_n\}_{n\ge0}$ is equivalent to the standard basis of l_p. In particular, we have $I = l_p$, which is a contradiction with the assumption. □

The following lemma provides an equivalent representation for the norm $\|\cdot\|_I$.

Lemma 47. *Let I be a symmetric sequence space. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^m$, we have*[4]

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\alpha)\otimes e_k\Big\|_{L_p(\Omega_{m^m},I)} \overset{C_{abs}}{\sim} \|\alpha\|_I, \quad 1 \le p \le 2,$$

Here, C_{abs} is the absolute constant.

Proof. For convenience of the reader, we restate here the main result of [36]. If $\{f_k\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$ is a sequence of independent random variables on a probability space Ω_{m^m}, then

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_k\otimes e_k\Big\|_{L_p(\Omega_{m^m},I)} \overset{C_{abs}}{\sim} \Big(m\int_0^{1/m}\mu^p(s,h)ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \Big\|\sum_{i=1}^{m}\Big(m\int_{(i-1)/m}^{i/m}\mu^p(s,h)ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}e_i\Big\|_I,$$

where the function $h \in L_\infty(\Omega_{m^m}\times(0,1))$ is given by the formula

$$h(u,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\chi_{(\frac{i-1}{m},\frac{i}{m})}(t)f_i(u), \quad u \in \Omega_{m^m}, t \in [0,1].$$

Here, $\chi_{(\frac{i-1}{m},\frac{i}{m})}$ is the indicator function of the interval $(\frac{i-1}{m},\frac{i}{m})$. Setting $f_k = \theta_k(\alpha)$, we see that h is equimeasurable with α (considered as an element of $L_\infty(\Omega_m)$ equipped with a normalised measure). Therefore,

$$m\int_{(i-1)/m}^{i/m}\mu^p(s,h)ds = \mu^p(i-1,\alpha), \quad 1 \le i \le m.$$

In the latter formula, $\mu(\alpha)$ is computed with respect to the counting measure. In this setting, the above result reads as follows

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\alpha)\otimes e_k\Big\|_{L_p(\Omega_{m^m},I)} \overset{C_{abs}}{\sim} \|\alpha\|_\infty + \|\alpha\|_I.$$

Since $\|\alpha\|_\infty \le \|\alpha\|_I$, the assertion follows. □

[4]Here, the notation $f\otimes e_k$, $f \in L_p(\Omega_{m^m})$ stands for the element of $L_p(\Omega_{m^m},I)$ acting by $u \to f(u)e_k$ for every $u \in \Omega_{m^m}$.

In the next lemma, we provide an equivalent representation for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ of the Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$ under the assumption that the commutative core I admits an isomorphic embedding into $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)$. We recall that trace preserving $*-$homomorphisms $\{\varrho_k\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$ from $\mathcal{N}_1$ to $\mathcal{N}_m$ were introduced in the preceding subsection.

Lemma 48. *Let $I\neq l_p$ be a symmetric sequence space and let $T: I\to\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ be an isomorphic embedding. There exists*[5] *$F\in\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1)$ such that*

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}\overset{C(I)}{\sim}\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes\varrho_k(F)\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_m,\sigma_m)},\quad A=A^*\in M_m(\mathbb{C}).$$

Here, $C(I)$ is the constant which only depends on I (but not on the integer $m\geq 1$) and whose value may change from line to line.

Proof. Set $F=\{T(e_k)\}_{k\geq 0}\in\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)^\omega$. It follows from Lemma 46 that $|F|^p$ is a uniformly integrable sequence. Using Lemma 18, we infer that

$$F\in\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}^\omega,\tau^\omega)\overset{def}{=}\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1).$$

Fix a self-adjoint operator $A\in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ and select a unitary operator $U\in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that[6] $A=U^{-1}\lambda(A)U$. Define a unitary operator $V\in M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N}$ by setting

$$V=\Big(\prod_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(U)\Big)\otimes 1.$$

We have

$$V^{-1}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\lambda(A))\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big)V=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes T(e_{n_k}).$$

Here, $(n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1})\in\mathbb{Z}_+^m$ is arbitrary. Therefore, for the algebra $M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N}$ equipped with a tensor product trace, we have

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N})}=\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\lambda(A))\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N})}.$$

Since each θ_k maps the diagonal subalgebra $L_\infty(\Omega_m)$ of $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ into the diagonal subalgebra $L_\infty(\Omega_{m^m})$ of $M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})$, it follows that

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N})}=\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\lambda(A))\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big\|_{L_p(\Omega_{m^m},L_p(\mathcal{N},\tau))},$$

where on the right hand side we use the Bochner space norm. Taking $C(I)\geq\|T\|,\|T^{-1}\|$, we obtain

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\lambda(A))\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big\|_{L_p(\Omega_{m^m},L_p(\mathcal{N},\tau))}\overset{C(I)}{\sim}\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\lambda(A))\otimes e_{n_k}\Big\|_{L_p(\Omega_{m^m},I)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N})}\overset{C(I)}{\sim}\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\lambda(A))\otimes e_{n_k}\Big\|_{L_p(\Omega_{m^m},I)}.$$

[5]Note that F does not depend on the choice of m.

[6]Here, $\lambda(A)\in L_\infty(\Omega_m)$ denotes the eigenvalue sequence of the operator A.

Since $\{e_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ is a symmetric basis in I, it follows that

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N})}\overset{C(I)}{\sim}\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(\lambda(A))\otimes e_k\Big\|_{L_p(\Omega_{m^m},I)}$$

whenever $n_0<n_1<\cdots<n_{m-1}$. It follows now from Lemma 47 that

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes T(e_{n_k})\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N})}\overset{C(I)}{\sim}\|\lambda(A)\|_I=\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}\tag{116}$$

whenever $n_0<n_1<\cdots<n_{m-1}$. By definitions of F and ϱ_k, $0\leq k<m$, we have that

$$(\varrho_k(F))(n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1})=T(e_{n_k}),\quad (n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1})\in\mathbb{Z}_+^m.$$

Therefore, we can restate (116) as follows:

$$\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes(\varrho_k(F))(n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1})\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N})}\overset{C(I)}{\sim}\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}$$

whenever $n_0<n_1<\cdots<n_{m-1}$. Since $F\in\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1)$, it follows that $\varrho_k(F)\in\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_m,\tau_m)$. Hence, $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes\varrho_k(F)\in\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N}_m)$. Clearly, $M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N}_m$ is an ultrapower of $M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes\varrho_k(F)\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N}_m)}\\&=\lim_{n_0\to\omega}\cdots\lim_{n_{m-1}\to\omega}\Big\|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(A)\otimes(\varrho_k(F))(n_0,\cdots,n_{m-1})\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(M_{m^m}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{N})}\overset{C(I)}{\sim}\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion. □

8.3. **Proof of uniform integrability.** In this subsection, we show that, for every rank one projection p, there exists an element $z\in L_p(0,1)$ such that

$$\mu(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(p)\otimes\varrho_k(F))\leq\mu(z),\quad m\geq 1,$$

where F is defined in Lemma 48 above. The following lemma shows that $\{\varrho_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ are subsymmetric copies in the sense of Koestler.

Lemma 49. *For every $\mathcal{A}\subset\{0,\cdots,m-1\}$, we have*

$$\mu\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{A}}\varrho_k(x)\Big)=\mu\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{|\mathcal{A}|-1}\varrho_k(x)\Big),\quad x\in\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, x is bounded. It suffices[7] to show that

$$\tau_m\Big(\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{A}}\varrho_k(x)\Big)^N\Big)=\tau_m\Big(\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\varrho_k(x)\Big)^N\Big),\quad N\in\mathbb{N},$$

[7]Indeed, if all moments of bounded random variables x and y coincide, then so do their characteristic functions. Hence, their distributions also coincide.

where we denoted for brevity, $M=|\mathcal{A}|$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\{k_0,\cdots,k_{M-1}\}$. It is clear that

$$\tau_m\Big(\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{A}}\varrho_k(x)\Big)^N\Big)=\lim_{n_0\to\omega}\cdots\lim_{n_{m-1}\to\omega}\tau\Big(\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}x(n_{k_i})\Big)^N\Big)=$$

$$=\lim_{n_{k_0}\to\omega}\cdots\lim_{n_{k_{M-1}}\to\omega}\tau\Big(\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}x(n_{k_i})\Big)^N\Big).$$

Renaming the index n_{k_0} with n_0, we obtain

$$\tau_m\Big(\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{A}}\varrho_k(x)\Big)^N\Big)=\lim_{n_0\to\omega}\lim_{n_{k_1}\to\omega}\cdots\lim_{n_{k_{M-1}}\to\omega}\tau\Big(\Big(x(n_0)+\sum_{i=1}^{M-1}x(n_{k_i})\Big)^N\Big).$$

Renaming the index n_{k_1} with n_1, we obtain

$$\tau_m\Big(\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{A}}\varrho_k(x)\Big)^N\Big)=\lim_{n_0\to\omega}\lim_{n_1\to\omega}\lim_{n_{k_2}\to\omega}\cdots\lim_{n_{k_{M-1}}\to\omega}\tau\Big(\Big(x(n_0)+x(n_1)+\sum_{i=2}^{M-1}x(n_{k_i})\Big)^N\Big).$$

Repeating the process, we conclude the proof. □

Consider the probability space $(\mathcal{N}_{\rm ext},\tau_{\rm ext})$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\rm ext}=\mathbb{C}\bigoplus\Big(\bigoplus_{m\geq1}\mathcal{N}_m\Big),\quad \tau_{\rm ext}=\frac{1}{e}\bigoplus\Big(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{e\cdot m!}\tau_m\Big).$$

Define the mapping $\mathscr{L}:\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1)\to\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}_{\rm ext},\tau_{\rm ext})$ defined by the setting

$$\mathscr{L}(x)=0\bigoplus\Big(\bigoplus_{m\geq1}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\varrho_k(x)\Big)\Big),\quad x\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1).$$

The expression for the mapping $\mathscr{L}$ could be understood as a subsymmetric version of the Kruglov operator replacing tensor copies by the copies given by the $\{\rho_k\}_{k\geq0}$. However, we do not need these properties and, hence, do not state and prove them.

Lemma 50. *$\mathscr{L}:\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1)\to\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_{\rm ext},\tau_{\rm ext})$ for all $1\leq p\leq2$.*

Proof. Let $0\leq x\in\mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1)$. We have

$$\tau_{\rm ext}\big((\mathscr{L}x)^2\big)=\sum_{m\geq1}\frac{1}{e\cdot m!}\tau_m\Big(\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\varrho_k(x)\Big)^2\Big)=\sum_{m\geq1}\frac{1}{e\cdot m!}\sum_{k_1,k_2=0}^{m-1}\tau_m(\varrho_{k_1}(x)\varrho_{k_2}(x)).$$

Since the $*-$homomorphisms ϱ_k, $0\leq k<m$, are trace preserving, it follows that

$$0\leq\tau_m(\varrho_{k_1}(x)\varrho_{k_2}(x))\leq\big(\tau_m((\varrho_{k_1}(x))^2)\tau_m((\varrho_{k_2}(x))^2)\big)^{1/2}=\tau_1(x^2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\tau_{\rm ext}((\mathscr{L}x)^2)\leq\sum_{m\geq1}\frac{m^2}{e\cdot m!}\tau(x^2)=2\tau(x^2).$$

It is immediate that $\|\mathscr{L}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2\to\mathcal{L}_2}\leq\sqrt{2}$. Since $\tau_{\rm ext}(\mathscr{L}x)=\tau_1(x)$ for every $x\in\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1)$, it follows that $\|\mathscr{L}\|_{\mathcal{L}_1\to\mathcal{L}_1}\leq1$. The assertion follows by interpolation. □

Lemma 51. *For every rank one projection $p \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$, we have*

$$\mu\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \theta_k(p) \otimes \varrho_k(x)\Big) \le D_e \mu(\mathscr{L}(x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1, \tau_1).$$

Here, the left hand side is computed in $(\mathcal{M}_m, \sigma_m)$ and the right hand side is computed in $(\mathcal{N}_{\rm ext}, \tau_{\rm ext})$.

Proof. Consider the family $\{\theta_k(p)\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$ of commuting projections with trace $\frac{1}{m}$. Define the family of 2^m pairwise orthogonal projections

$$p_{\mathcal{A}} = \Big(\prod_{k\in\mathcal{A}} \theta_k(p)\Big) \cdot \Big(\prod_{k\notin\mathcal{A}} (1-\theta_k(p))\Big), \quad \mathcal{A} \subset \{0,1,\cdots,m-1\}.$$

A moment's reflection shows that $\sum_{\mathcal{A}} p_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$. We have

$$p_{\mathcal{A}}\theta_k(p) = \begin{cases} p_{\mathcal{A}}, & k \in \mathcal{A} \\ 0, & k \notin \mathcal{A}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \theta_k(p) \otimes \varrho_k(x) = \sum_{\mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_{\mathcal{A}}\theta_k(p) \otimes \varrho_k(x) = \sum_{\mathcal{A}} p_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \Big(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{A}} \varrho_k(x)\Big).$$

Since the projections $p_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A} \subset \{0,1,\cdots,m-1\}$, are pairwise orthogonal, it follows from Lemma 49 that

$$\mu\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \theta_k(p) \otimes \varrho_k(x)\Big) = \mu\Big(\sum_{\mathcal{A}} p_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{|\mathcal{A}|-1} \varrho_k(x)\Big)\Big).$$

Set

$$p_l = \sum_{|\mathcal{A}|=l} p_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad 0 \le l \le m.$$

These projections are pairwise orthogonal and $\sum_{l=0}^{m} p_l = 1$. We have

$$\mu\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \theta_k(p) \otimes \varrho_k(x)\Big) = \mu\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{m} p_l \otimes \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \varrho_k(x)\Big)\Big). \tag{117}$$

Since the projections $\theta_k(p)$, $0 \le k < m$, are independent (see Subsection 2.8), it is immediate from the definition of $p_{\mathcal{A}}$ that

$$\frac{1}{m^m}\mathrm{Tr}(p_{\mathcal{A}}) = \Big(\frac{1}{m}\Big)^{|\mathcal{A}|}\Big(1-\frac{1}{m}\Big)^{m-|\mathcal{A}|}, \quad \mathcal{A} \subset \{0,1,\cdots,m-1\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{m^m}\mathrm{Tr}(p_l) = \binom{m}{l}\Big(\frac{1}{m}\Big)^{l}\Big(1-\frac{1}{m}\Big)^{m-l} \le \frac{1}{l!} = e \cdot \frac{1}{e\cdot l!}, \quad 0 \le l \le m.$$

The assertion follows by combining (117) with the definition of $\mathscr{L}(x)$ and the definition of the dilation operator. □

8.4. **Proof of Theorem 6.** We are now ready to prove our second main result.

Proof of Theorem 6. The proof of the implication (i) $\to$ (ii) is immediate. We now proceed with the proof of the implication (ii) $\to$ (i). Suppose that there exists an isomorphic embedding from the commutative core I of the Banach ideal $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ for some probability space $(\mathcal{N},\tau)$. Let $\{p_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence such that $\mathrm{Tr}(p_m)=m$. We identify $p_m\mathcal{L}(H)p_m=M_m(\mathbb{C})$. Take an element $F\in\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_1,\tau_1)$ constructed in Lemma 48. Define the mapping $T_m:\mathcal{C}_{00}\to\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_m,\sigma_m)$ by setting

$$T_m(A)\stackrel{def}{=}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\theta_k(p_mAp_m)\otimes\varrho_k(F),\quad m\geq 0,$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{00}$ denotes the ideal of finite rank operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. Set

$$T(A)\stackrel{def}{=}\{T_m(A)\}_{m\geq 0}\in\Big(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_m,\sigma_m)\Big)^{\omega}_{m\geq 0}.$$

If $A=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\lambda(k,A)q_k$, where each q_k, $0\leq k<N$, is a rank one projection, then (see (6))

$$\mu(T_m(A))=\mu\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\lambda(k,A)T_m(q_k)\Big)\leq\|A\|_\infty ND_N\mu(T_m(q_0)).$$

Using Lemma 51, we infer that

$$\mu(T_m(A))\leq N\|A\|_\infty D_{eN}\mu(\mathscr{L}(F)).$$

Since $\mathscr{L}(F)\in\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{ext}},\tau_{\mathrm{ext}})$, it follows that the sequence $\{|T_m(A)|^p\}_{m\geq 0}$ is uniformly integrable. By Lemma 18, we have

$$T(A)\in\mathcal{L}_p(\Big(\mathcal{M}_m\Big)^{\omega}_{m\geq 0},\Big(\sigma_m\Big)^{\omega}_{m\geq 0}),\quad A\in\mathcal{C}_{00}.$$

It follows immediately from Lemma 48 that

$$\|T(A)\|_p=\lim_{m\to\omega}\|T_m(A)\|_p\sim\lim_{m\to\omega}\|p_mAp_m\|_{\mathcal{I}}=\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}},\quad A\in\mathcal{C}_{00}.$$

Thus, T extends to an isomorphic embedding

$$T:\mathcal{I}\to\mathcal{L}_p(\Big(\mathcal{M}_m\Big)^{\omega}_{m\geq 0},\Big(\sigma_m\Big)^{\omega}_{m\geq 0}).$$

□

Appendix A.

The following result shows that for the Orlicz ideal the notion of p-convexity (resp., q-concavity) coincides with upper p-estimate (resp., lower q-estimate).

Theorem 52. *Let $1\leq p\leq 2$ and $2\leq q<\infty$. The following conditions are equivalent*

(i) *The Orlicz ideal $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ is p-convex and q-concave.*
(ii) *The Orlicz ideal $\mathcal{L}_M(H)$ satisfies an upper p-estimate and a lower q-estimate.*
(iii) *The Orlicz space l_M is p-convex and q-concave.*
(iv) *The Orlicz space l_M satisfies an upper p-estimate and a lower q-estimate.*
(v) *The Orlicz function M on $(0,\infty)$ is equivalent on $[0,1]$ to some p-convex and q-concave Orlicz function.*

Proof. The implications (i)⇒(iii) and (ii)⇒(iv) are obvious. The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) is proved in [41, p. 121,124]. The implications (iii)⇒(i) and (iii)⇒(ii) are shown in [22, Theorems 3.8 and 4.7] and [22, Proposition 5.1], respectively.

(iv)⇒(v). Let l_M satisfies upper p-estimate and lower q-estimate. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M(1) = 1$. Applying (12) and (13) to the sequence

$$\sum_{j=0}^{nm-1} e_j = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=(k-1)m}^{km-1} e_j \in l_M,$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is the standard vector basis in l_M and observing

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=(k-1)m}^{km-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} = \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} \quad \text{for any} \quad 1 \le k \le n,$$

we obtain that

$$\text{const} \cdot n^{1/q} \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} \le \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{nm-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} \le \text{const} \cdot n^{1/p} \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} \tag{118}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $0 < s \le t \le 1$. Set $u := \frac{1}{M(s)}$ and $v := \frac{1}{M(t)}$. Then $u \ge v \ge 1$. Let $m := [v]$ and $n := \Big[\frac{u}{m}\Big] + 1$. Observe that since $v < 2m$ and $v \le u$, we have

$$n \le \frac{u}{m} + 1 < \frac{2u}{v} + \frac{u}{v} = \frac{3u}{v} \quad \text{and} \quad n = \Big[\frac{u}{m}\Big] + 1 \ge \frac{u}{m}. \tag{119}$$

Observe also that (see e.g. [54, I, 1.2, Example 9])

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e_j \Big\|_{l_M} = \frac{1}{M^{-1}\Big(\frac{1}{m}\Big)} \quad \text{for any} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{120}$$

Now using the fact that M^{-1} is increasing and applying the right hand side inequality of (118) together with (120), we obtain

$$t = M^{-1}\Big(\frac{1}{v}\Big) \le M^{-1}\Big(\frac{1}{m}\Big) \le$$

$$\overset{(118)}{\le} \text{const} \cdot n^{\frac{1}{p}} M^{-1}\Big(\frac{1}{mn}\Big) \overset{(119)}{\le} \text{const} \cdot \Big(\frac{u}{v}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} M^{-1}\Big(\frac{1}{u}\Big) = \text{const} \cdot \Big(\frac{M(t)}{M(s)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot s.$$

Raising to the power p both sides of the inequality above, we obtain (8). The left hand side inequality of (118) similarly implies (9). By Lemma 11, there exists a p-convex and q-concave Orlicz function equivalent to M.

(v)⇒(iii). Suppose that an Orlicz function M satisfies (v). Without loss of generality we may assume that M is p-convex. Define a convex Orlicz function M_1 by setting

$$M_1(t) := M(t^{1/p}), \quad t > 0.$$

Since for any finite sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq l_M$,

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \Big)^{1/p} \Big\|_{l_M} = \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \Big\|_{l_{M_1}}^{1/p} \le \Big(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_{l_{M_1}}^p \Big)^{1/p} \le \Big(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_{l_M}^p \Big)^{1/p},$$

it follows that l_M is p-convex. Similarly, one can deduce that l_M is q-concave from q-concavity of M.

□

References

[1] J. Arazy, *Some remarks on interpolation theorems and the boundness of the triangular projection in unitary matrix spaces,* Integral Equations Operator Theory **1** (1978), no. 4, 453–495.

[2] J. Arazy, M. Cwikel, *A new characterization of the interpolation spaces between L_p and L_q,* Math. Scand. **55** (1984), no. 2, 253–270.

[3] J. Arazy, P. Lin, *On p-convexity and q-concavity of unitary matrix spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **8** (1985), 295-313.

[4] S. Astashkin, F. Sukochev, *Comparison of sums of independent and disjoint functions in symmetric spaces,* Mat. Zametki **76** (2004), no. 4, 483–489.

[5] S. Astashkin, F. Sukochev, *Series of independent random variables in rearrangement invariant spaces: an operator approach,* Israel J. Math. **145** (2005), 125–156.

[6] S. Astashkin, F. Sukochev, *Series of zero-mean independent functions in symmetric spaces with the Kruglov property,* (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **345** (2007), Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funkts. 34, 25–50, 140; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **148** (2008), no. 6, 795–809.

[7] S. Astashkin, F. Sukochev, *Independent functions and the geometry of Banach spaces,* (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **65** (2010), no. 6(396), 3–86; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **65** (2010), no. 6, 1003–1081.

[8] S. Astashkin, F. Sukochev, *Orlicz sequence spaces spanned by identically distributed independent random variables in L_p-spaces,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **413** (2014), no. 1, 1–19.

[9] N. Azamov, A. Carey, P. Dodds, F. Sukochev, *Operator integrals, spectral shift, and spectral flow,* Canad. J. Math. **61** (2009), no. 2, 241–263.

[10] E. Berkson, T. Gillespie, P. Muhly, *Abstract spectral decompositions guaranteed by the Hilbert transform,* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **53** (1986), no. 3, 489–517.

[11] J. Bretagnolle, D. Dacunha-Castelle, *Mesures aléatoires et espaces d'Orlicz,* (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B **264** (1967), A877–A880.

[12] J. Bretagnolle, D. Dacunha-Castelle, *Application de l'étude de certaines formes linéaires aléatoires au plongement d'espaces de Banach dans des espaces L^p*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **2** (1969), no. 5, 437-480.

[13] M. Braverman, *Independent random variables and rearrangement invariant spaces,* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **194**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[14] M. Braverman, *On some moment conditions for sums of independent random variables,* Probab. Math. Statist. **14** (1993), no. 1, 45–56.

[15] M. Braverman, *Independent random variables in Lorentz spaces,* Bull. London Math. Soc. **28** (1996), no. 1, 79–87.

[16] O. Bratteli, D. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. Vol. 1. C^*- and W^*-algebras, symmetry groups, decomposition of states,* Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979. xii+500 pp.

[17] J. Calkin, *Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space,* Ann. of Math. **42**, (1941), no. 2, 839–873.

[18] V. Chilin, F. Sukochev, *Weak convergence in non-commutative symmetric spaces,* J. Operator Theory, **31** (1994), no. 1, 35–65.

[19] D. Dacunha-Castelle, *Variables aléatoires échangeables et espaces d'Orlicz,* Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1974–1975: *Espaces L^p, applications radonifiantes et géométrie des espaces de Banach.* Exp. Nos. X et XI, 21 pp. Centre Math., École Polytech., Paris, 1975.

[20] J. Dixmier, *von Neumann algebras,* North-Holland Mathematical Library, 27. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1981.

[21] P. Dodds, T. Dodds, B. de Pagter, *Fully symmetric operator spaces,* Integral Equations Operator Theory, **15** (1992), no. 6, 942–972.

[22] P. Dodds, T. Dodds, F. Sukochev, *On p-convexity and q-concavity in non-commutative symmetric spaces,* Integral Equations Operator Theory, **78** (2014), no. 1, 91–114.

[23] T. Dodds, B. de Pagter, F. Sukochev, *Non-commutative intergation* (to appear)

[24] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part I. General theory,* A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988. xiv+858 pp.

[25] T. Fack, *Type and cotype inequalities for noncommutative L_p-spaces,* J. Operator Theory, **17** (1987), no. 2, 255–279.
[26] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, *Generalized s-numbers of τ-measurable operators,* Pacific J. Math. **123** (1986), no. 2, 269–300.
[27] D. Fremlin, *Measure theory,* Vol. 4., Colchester, 2006, Part I: 528 pp.; Part II: 439+19 pp.
[28] B. Gnedenko, A. Kolmogorov, *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables* (russian), Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Teor. Lit., Moscow-Leningrad, 1949, 264 pp.
[29] U. Haagerup, H. Rosenthal, F. Sukochev, *Banach embedding properties of non-commutative L_p-spaces,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **163** (2003), no. 776, vi+68 pp.
[30] U. Haagerup, H. Schultz, *Invariant subspaces for operators in a general II_1-factor*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **109** (2009), 19–111.
[31] P. Halmos, J. von Neumann, *Operator methods in classical mechanics, II,* Ann. of Math. **43**, (1942), no. 2, 332–350.
[32] W. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman, L. Tzafriri, *Symmetric structures in Banach spaces.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1979), no. 217, v+298 pp.
[33] W. Johnson, G. Schechtman, *Sums of independent random variables in rearrangement invariant function spaces,* Ann. Probab. **17** (1989), no. 2, 789–808.
[34] M. Junge, *Noncommutative Poisson process* to appear.
[35] M. Junge, *Embeddings of non-commutative L_p-spaces into non-commutative L_1-spaces, $1 < p < 2$,* Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 2, 389–406.
[36] M. Junge, *The optimal order for the p-th moment of sums of independent random variables with respect to symmetric norms and related combinatorial estimates,* Positivity **10** (2006), no. 2, 201–230.
[37] Junge M., Xu Q. *Noncommutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities.* Ann. Probab. **31** (2003), no. 2, 948–995.
[38] M. Kadec, *Linear dimension of the spaces L_p and l_q,* Uspehi Mat. Nauk, **13**:6(84) (1958), 95–98 (in Russian).
[39] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II. Advanced theory.* Corrected reprint of the 1986 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **16**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
[40] N. Kalton, F. Sukochev, *Symmetric norms and spaces of operators,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008), 81–121.
[41] A. Kaminska, L. Maligranda, L. Persson, *Type, cotype and convexity properties of Orlicz spaces,* Colloquium del departamento de analisis matematico, 1996-1997.
[42] H. Kosaki, *Applications of the complex interpolation method to a von Neumann algebra: noncommutative L_p-spaces,* J. Funct. Anal. **56** (1984), no. 1, 29–78.
[43] S. Krein, Ju. Petunin, E. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Nauka, Moscow, 1978 (in Russian); English translation in Translations of Math. Monographs, Vol. **54**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982.
[44] V. Kruglov, *A remark on the theory of infinitely divisible laws,* (Russian. English summary) Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen **15** (1970), 330–336.
[45] S. Kwapien, C. Schütt, *Some combinatorial and probabilistic inequalities and their application to Banach space theory,* Studia Math. **82** (1985), no. 1, 91–106.
[46] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I: Sequence Spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. xiii+188 pp.
[47] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II: Function Spaces*, Springer, 1996.
[48] S. Lord, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Singular Traces: Theory and Applications*, volume 46 of *Studies in Mathematics*. De Gruyter, 2012.
[49] G. Lorentz, T. Shimogaki, *Interpolation theorems for the pairs of spaces (L_p, L_∞) and (L_1, L_q),* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **159** (1971), 207–221.
[50] D. McDuff *Central sequences and the hyperfinite factor.* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **21** 1970 443–461.
[51] G. Murphy, *C^*-algebras and operator theory,* Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
[52] A. Nica, R. Speicher, *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability,* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 335, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
[53] G. Pisier and Q. Xu, *Non-commutative Lp-spaces*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, 1459–1517, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.

[54] M. Rao, Z. Ren, *Applications of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 250, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2002, xii+464 pp.
[55] H. Rauhut, *Compressive sensing and structured random matrices.* Theoretical foundations and numerical methods for sparse recovery, 192, Radon Ser. Comput. Appl. Math., 9, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2010.
[56] Y. Raynaud, C. Schütt, *Some results on symmetric subspaces of L_1,* Studia Math. **89** (1988), no. 1, 27–35.
[57] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis,* Second edition, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1980.
[58] R. Ryan, *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces,* (English summary) Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002, xiv+225 pp.
[59] S. Sakai, *C^*-algebras and W^*-algebras,* Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971, xii+253 pp.
[60] C. Schütt, *On the embedding of 2-concave Orlicz spaces into L_1*, Studia Math. **113** (1995), no. 1, 73–80.
[61] F. Sukochev, *Non-isomorphism of L_p-spaces associated with finite and infinite von Neumann algebras,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 5, 1517–1527.
[62] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *The moduli of smoothness and convexity and the Rademacher averages of trace classes S_p $(1 \leq p < \infty)$,* Studia Math. **50** (1974), 163–182.
[63] H. Umegaki, *Conditional expectation in an operator algebra*, Tôhoku Math. J. **6** (1954), no. 2, 177–181.
[64] J. Vesterstrom *Quotients of finite W^*-algebras.* J. Functional Analysis **9** (1972), 322–335.

1409 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois, 61801,USA
E-mail address: mjunge@illinois.edu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW, Kensington, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au

School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW, Kensington, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: d.zanin@unsw.edu.au